Campbell Soup Company - Water Security 2023

W0. Introduction

W0.1

(W0.1) Give a general description of and introduction to your organization.

Campbell Soup Company (NYSE: CPB) is committed to making good, honest and affordable food that that people love. For more than 150 years, we have expressed our purpose in different ways to reflect the evolution of our business and the market, but it has always included connecting people through food while having a positive impact on the communities we call home and preserving the resources on the planet we share. Headquartered in Camden, New Jersey, and with net sales of approximately $8.6 billion in fiscal year 2022 (FY22), Campbell is a focused brand powerhouse. Our business is comprised of two segments: Meals & Beverages, and Snacks. Meals & Beverages which consists of our soup, simple meals and beverages products in retail and foodservice in the U.S. and Canada, includes the following products: *Campbell's* condensed and ready-to-serve soups; *Swanson* broth and stocks; *Pacific Foods* broth, soups and non-dairy beverages; *Prego* pasta sauces; *Pace* Mexican sauces; *Campbell's* gravies, pasta, beans and dinner sauces; *Swanson* canned poultry; *V8* juices and beverages; and *Campbell's* tomato juice. The Snacks division consists of *Pepperidge Farm* cookies, crackers, fresh bakery and frozen products, including *Farmhouse* cookies, *Milano* cookies and *Goldfish* crackers, as well as *Snyder's of Hanover* pretzels, *Lance* sandwich crackers, *Cape Cod* and *Kettle Brand* potato chips, *Late July* snacks, *Snack Factory* pretzel crisps, *Pop Secret* popcorn, and other products. In FY21, we committed to setting a Science-Based Target (SBT). We secured approval of those targets from the Campbell Leadership team and Board of Directors in late 2021, and from the Science Based Targets initiative in early 2022. Campbell Soup Company has committed to reduce absolute Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions 42% by Fiscal 2030 from a Fiscal 2020 base year. This is in line with a 1.5 C trajectory. We have committed to reduce Scope 3 GHG emissions from purchased goods and services and upstream transportation and distribution by 25%. We have a series of other external commitments to drive impact and our Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) strategy, all of which can be found in our 2023 Corporate Responsibility Report Data Update. A few highlights of our progress include:

- 122,000 acres of wheat enrolled in wheat sustainability programs
- 9% of electricity from renewable sources
- 94% of our packaging by weight is recyclable
- Continuing to make progress in our hometown and community commitments, including $2.9 million donated to our signature program, Full Futures, since 2021
- 18% reduction in food waste

This submission and other Campbell reports may use certain terms that certain third-party entities refer to as "material" in connection with certain sustainability and social impact matters. Used in this context, this term is distinct from, and should not be confused with, the terms "material" and "materiality" as defined by, or construed in accordance with, securities or other laws and regulations. Matters considered material for purposes of this report may not be considered material in the context of our financial statements, reports with the SEC, or our other public statements, and the inclusion of information in this report is not an indication that such information is necessarily material to us in those contexts. See question 4.1a for additional information.

W-FB0.1a/W-AC0.1a

(W-FB0.1a/W-AC0.1a) Which activities in the food, beverage, and tobacco and/or agricultural commodities sectors does your organization engage in?

Processing/Manufacturing
Distribution

W0.2

(W0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.

	Start date	End date
Reporting year	August 2 2021	July 31 2022

W0.3

(W0.3) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

United States of America

W0.4

(W0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

USD

W0.5

(W0.5) Select the option that best describes the reporting boundary for companies, entities, or groups for which water impacts on your business are being reported.

Companies, entities or groups over which operational control is exercised

W0.6

(W0.6) Within this boundary, are there any geographies, facilities, water aspects, or other exclusions from your disclosure?

No

W0.7

(W0.7) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

Indicate whether you are able to provide a unique identifier for your organization.	Provide your unique identifier
Yes, a Ticker symbol	CPB

W1. Current state

W1.1

(W1.1) Rate the importance (current and future) of water quality and water quantity to the success of your business.

	Direct use importance rating	Indirect use importance rating	Please explain
Sufficient amounts of good quality freshwater available for use	Vital	Vital	Water is a critical natural resource that is essential to life. It is needed for drinking, sanitation, food production, ecosystems and energy production. Agriculture and food production use more than 70% of the world's fresh water to grow crops, feed livestock and process ingredients. We chose 'vital' for both the direct and indirect importance ratings because Campbell's relies on fresh water in the production processes at our manufacturing sites and as a critical input for our agricultural ingredients. Our reliance on good quality water may increase depending upon the characteristics of our product portfolio. Our supply chain makes up more than 95% of our water footprint.
Sufficient amounts of recycled, brackish and/or produced water available for use	Neutral	Neutral	Although, we do recycle water used for moving product within some of our facilities, we do not rely on recycled, brackish or produced water from third parties for our operations. We chose neutral because we can operate without using recycled water in our direct and indirect operations. It would be less efficient in terms of costs and water stewardship. We expect to recycle more water in the future in both our direct and indirect operations, as we work to reduce water withdrawals and associated energy use in line with our sustainability commitments.

W-FB1.1a/W-AC1.1a

(W-FB1.1a/W-AC1.1a) Which water-intensive agricultural commodities that your organization produces and/or sources are the most significant to your business by revenue? Select up to five.

Agricultural commodities	% of revenue dependent on these agricultural commodities	Produced and/or sourced	Please explain
Other crop commodity, please specify (Wheat)	41-60	Sourced	In FY2022, wheat was primarily used in Campbell's breads and snack products, as well as in the pasta found in our soups and simple meals.
Other crop commodity, please specify (Tomatoes)	21-40	Sourced	In FY2022, tomatoes were primarily used in soups, sauces, salsas and beverages.
Other crop commodity, please specify (Dairy)	21-40	Sourced	In FY2022, dairy was primarily used in creamy soups and in Campbell's snack products.
Other crop commodity, please specify (Poultry)	10-20	Sourced	In FY2022, poultry was primarily used in Campbell's soups.
Other crop commodity, please specify (Potatoes)	Less than 10%	Sourced	In FY2022, potatoes were primarily used in Campbell's soups and potato chips.

W1.2

(W1.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

	% of sites/facilities/operations	Frequency of measurement	Method of measurement	Please explain

	% of sites/facilities/operations	Frequency of measurement	Method of measurement	Please explain
Water withdrawals – total volumes	100%	Monthly	We track water withdrawals by source on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from water invoices as well as from sites that track incoming surface and ground water.	We track water withdrawals on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from water invoices, as well as from sites that track incoming surface and ground water. No material facilities are excluded from this monitoring. We include our owned and leased manufacturing operations, as well as large offices in our measuring and monitoring. We also measure and monitor some of our owned and leased warehouses where we manage the water and sewer accounts. Each of our manufacturing facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025.
Water withdrawals – volumes by source	100%	Monthly	We track water withdrawals by source on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from water invoices as well as from sites that track incoming surface and ground water.	We track water withdrawals by source on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from water invoices as well as from sites that track incoming surface and ground water. No material facilities are excluded from this monitoring. We include our owned and leased manufacturing operations, as well as large offices in our measuring and monitoring. We also measure and monitor some of our owned and leased warehouses where we manage the water and sewer accounts. Each of our manufacturing facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025.
Entrained water associated with your metals & mining and/or coal sector activities - total volumes [only metals and mining and coal sectors]	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Produced water associated with your oil & gas sector activities - total volumes [only oil and gas sector]	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Water withdrawals quality	100%	Monthly	See please explain	Each facility uses potable water, as defined by the U.S. EPA, for the manufacturing of product and use by personnel. Nearly all facilities receive water from local municipalities. Certain plants have onsite water treatment or distribution systems. Some facilities use additional filtration systems to further condition their incoming water for production purposes. We monitor and measure water quality at the site level and also track water quality related to water permits at the corporate level. We monitor municipal reporting and track the quality of water withdrawals at our manufacturing locations on a daily, weekly or monthly basis depending on the type of process, location of the site and our permitting and processing requirements.
Water discharges – total volumes	100%	Monthly	We track water discharges on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from sewer invoices as well as from sites that track outgoing surface and ground water.	We track water discharges on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from sewer invoices as well as from sites that track outgoing surface and ground water. No material facilities are excluded from this monitoring. We include our owned and leased manufacturing operations, as well as large offices in our measuring and monitoring. We also measure and monitor some of our owned and leased warehouses where we manage the water and sewer accounts.
Water discharges – volumes by destination	100%	Monthly	We track water discharges by source on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from sewer invoices as well as from sites that track outgoing surface and ground water.	We track water discharges by source on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from sewer invoices as well as from sites that track outgoing surface and ground water. No facilities are excluded from this monitoring. We include our owned and leased manufacturing operations, as well as large offices in our measuring and monitoring. We also measure and monitor some of our owned and leased warehouses where we manage the water and sewer accounts.
Water discharges – volumes by treatment method	100%	Monthly	Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.	We track water discharges by treatment method on a daily, weekly and monthly basis depending on the type of process, location of the site and our permitting and processing requirements. Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.
Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters	100%	Monthly	Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.	We track water discharges by standard effluent parameters on a daily, weekly and monthly basis depending on the type of process, location of the site and our permitting and processing requirements. Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.
Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)	Please select	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	
Water discharge quality – temperature	100%	Monthly	Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.	We track water discharge temperatures on a daily, weekly and monthly basis depending on the type of process, location of the site and our permitting and processing requirements. Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.
Water consumption – total volume	100%	Monthly	We track water consumption on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from water and sewer invoices as well as from sites that track incoming and outgoing surface and ground water.	We track water consumption on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from water and sewer invoices as well as from sites that track incoming and outgoing surface and ground water. No material facilities are excluded from this monitoring. We include our owned and leased manufacturing operations, as well as large offices in our measuring and monitoring. We also measure and monitor some of our owned and leased warehouses where we manage the water and sewer accounts. Each of our facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025.
Water recycled/reused	Not monitored	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	We do not currently measure and monitor recycled water in our operations.
The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers	100%	Please select		All of our sites (US based) provide drinking water that meets US EPA quality standards at work to our employees. We have requirements for all individuals entering our production facilities to wash hands properly and we provide fully functioning WASH services for employees onsite.

W1.2b

(W1.2b) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Five-year forecast	Primary reason for forecast	Please explain
Total withdrawals	23839	Higher	Increase/decrease in business activity	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	We increased our water withdrawals by 11% compared with the last reporting year. We anticipate increased water efficiency in the coming years due to expected CAPEX investments.
Total discharges	20969	Higher	Increase/decrease in business activity	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	We increased water discharges by 8% compared with the last reporting year. We anticipate increased water efficiency in the coming years due to expected CAPEX investments.
Total consumption	2871	Higher	Increase/decrease in business activity	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	We increased consumption by 4% compared with the last reporting year. We anticipate increased water efficiency in the coming years due to expected CAPEX investments.

W1.2d

(W1.2d) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the proportion, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

	Withdrawals are from areas with water stress	% withdrawn from areas with water stress	Comparison with previous reporting year	Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Five-year forecast	Primary reason for forecast	Identification tool	Please explain
Row 1	Yes	1-10	About the same	Other, please specify (No material change)	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	WRI Aqueduct WWF Water Risk Filter	For FY2022, Campbell completed a water inventory and risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wildlife Fund's Water Risk Filter (WRF). Based on Aqueduct Baseline water stress criteria, it was found that in our Direct Operations, only 1% of Campbell water withdrawals are from areas of high or extremely high water stress.

W-FB1.2e/W-AC1.2e

(W-FB1.2e/W-AC1.2e) For each commodity reported in question W-FB1.1a/W-AC1.1a, do you know the proportion that is produced/sourced from areas with water stress?

Agricultural commodities	The proportion of this commodity produced in areas with water stress is known	The proportion of this commodity sourced from areas with water stress is known	Please explain

W1.2h

(W1.2h) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

	Relevance	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain
Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes	Relevant	9417	Higher	Increase/decrease in business activity	
Brackish surface water/Seawater	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	
Groundwater – renewable	Relevant	1275	About the same	Other, please specify (No material change)	
Groundwater – non-renewable	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	
Produced/Entrained water	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	
Third party sources	Relevant	13147	About the same	Other, please specify (No material change)	

W1.2i

(W1.2i) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

	Relevance	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain
Fresh surface water	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	
Brackish surface water/seawater	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	
Groundwater	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	
Third-party destinations	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	

W1.2j

(W1.2j) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.

	Relevance of treatment level to discharge	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year	Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	% of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to	Please explain
Tertiary treatment	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	In some cases, this type of treatment is relevant to our manufacturing facilities. We have data by discharge treatment type at the site level, but we are not prepared to report on it.
Secondary treatment	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	In some cases, this type of treatment is relevant to our manufacturing facilities. We have data by discharge treatment type at the site level, but we are not prepared to report on it.
Primary treatment only	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	This type of treatment is relevant to our manufacturing facilities. We have data by discharge treatment type at the site level, but we are not prepared to report on it.
Discharge to the natural environment without treatment	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	We do not discharge to the natural environment without treatment.
Discharge to a third party without treatment	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	We discharge to the municipality at our offices and warehouses.
Other	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	We have sites using a pretreatment system but then send the effluent to a municipality for final treatment and discharge. We have data by discharge treatment type at the site level but are not prepared to report on it.

W1.3

(W1.3) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

	Revenue	Total water withdrawal volume (megaliters)	Total water withdrawal efficiency	Anticipated forward trend
Row 1	8562000000	23839		We anticipate increased water efficiency in the coming years due to expected CAPEX investments.

W-FB1.3/W-AC1.3

(W-FB1.3/W-AC1.3) Do you collect/calculate water intensity for each commodity reported in question W-FB1.1a/W-AC1.1a?

Agricultural commodities	Water intensity information for this produced commodity is collected/calculated	Water intensity information for this sourced commodity is collected/calculated	Please explain
Other commodities from W-FB1.1a/W-AC1.1a, please specify (Tomatoes)	Not applicable	Yes	We collect water usage data annually from our tomato growers. In FY22, we measured a 28% reduction in water usage as compared to our baseline year of 2012. Additionally, in FY22, we launched a pilot program with our tomato growers designed to offset costs and encourage adoption of regenerative agriculture practices, including practices such as soil moisture monitoring.
Other commodities from W-FB1.1a/W-AC1.1a, please specify (Potatoes)	Not applicable	Yes	We collect irrigation water use annually.
Other commodities from W-FB1.1a/W-AC1.1a, please specify (Wheat)	Not applicable	Yes	Our wheat supply is mostly rain-fed. However, we began data collection on acres that are irrigated in F21.

W-FB1.3b/W-AC1.3b

(W-FB1.3b/W-AC1.3b) Provide water intensity information for each of the agricultural commodities identified in W-FB1.3/W-AC1.3 that you source.

Agricultural commodities
Other sourced commodities from W-FB1.3/W-AC1.3, please specify (Tomatoes)

Water intensity value (m3/denominator)
0.03

Numerator: Water aspect
Freshwater consumption

Denominator
Other, please specify (Pound of Tomato)

Comparison with previous reporting year
About the same

Please explain
Numerator is irrigation water use. The figure covers 93% of our tomato supply.
We have engaged with growers on water efficiency for many years, and we anticipate continuing to engage with our growers on environmental performance metrics going forward.

Agricultural commodities
Other sourced commodities from W-FB1.3/W-AC1.3, please specify (Potatoes)

Water intensity value (m3/denominator)
1195.8

Numerator: Water aspect
Freshwater consumption

Denominator
Other, please specify (Acre)

Comparison with previous reporting year
About the same

Please explain
Numerator is irrigation water use. Denominator is all fresh potato acres in our sustainable ag program, both irrigated and non-irrigated.
100% of our fresh potato growers are engaged in sustainable agriculture programs. We anticipate continuing to engage with growers on environmental performance metrics going forward.

Agricultural commodities
Other sourced commodities from W-FB1.3/W-AC1.3, please specify (Wheat)

Water intensity value (m3/denominator)
0.25

Numerator: Water aspect
Other, please specify (Irrigation water use)

Denominator
Other, please specify (Acre)

Comparison with previous reporting year
About the same

Please explain
Numerator is irrigation water use. Denominator is all wheat acres in the Idaho geography region of our wheat sustainability program. That region is the only area in which our program operated that enrolled irrigated wheat acres.

W1.4

(W1.4) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances	Comment
Row 1	Please select	<Not Applicable>

W1.5

(W1.5) Do you engage with your value chain on water-related issues?

	Engagement	Primary reason for no engagement	Please explain
Suppliers	Yes	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Other value chain partners (e.g., customers)	Yes	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>

W1.5a

(W1.5a) Do you assess your suppliers according to their impact on water security?

Row 1

Assessment of supplier impact
No, we do not assess the impact of our suppliers and have no plans to do so within the next two years

Considered in assessment
<Not Applicable>

Number of suppliers identified as having a substantive impact
<Not Applicable>

% of total suppliers identified as having a substantive impact
<Not Applicable>

Please explain

W1.5b

(W1.5b) Do your suppliers have to meet water-related requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

	Suppliers have to meet specific water-related requirements	Comment
Row 1	No, and we do not plan to introduce water-related requirements within the next two years	

W1.5d

(W1.5d) Provide details of any other water-related supplier engagement activity.

Type of engagement
Innovation & collaboration

Details of engagement
Encourage/incentivize innovation to reduce water impacts in products and services
Educate suppliers about water stewardship and collaboration
Other, please specify (Provide training and support on sustainable agriculture practices to improve water stewardship.)

% of suppliers by number
1-25

% of suppliers with a substantive impact
<Not Applicable>

Rationale for your engagement
We engage our tomato, potato, and a number of the wheat growers in our wheat flour supply sheds on innovation and collaboration to drive water stewardship.

Impact of the engagement and measures of success
We provide reports to our growers of wheat, tomato and potato, benchmarking individual performance on sustainability metrics such as water use to the broader group to drive improvement. We have engaged our tomato growers heavily on transitioning to drip irrigation. As of FY2022, 76% of acres farmed for Campbell tomatoes used drip irrigation. We also connect growers to the latest research and funding opportunities . Additionally, in F22 we launched a new Campbell sustainable practices adoption fund, which will provide grants to our farmers to conduct soil health testing and help to offset the costs of trialing new sustainable practices, such as soil moisture monitoring.

We engage wheat growers through our Land O'Lakes Truterra partner and its network of agricultural retailers, which promote practices that enhance watershed quality, e.g. nutrient plans, cover crops.

Comment

W1.5e

(W1.5e) Provide details of any water-related engagement activity with customers or other value chain partners.

Type of stakeholder
Customers

Type of engagement
Innovation & collaboration

Details of engagement
Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce water impacts in products and services

Rationale for your engagement
We prioritize engagements that are either requested by key customers and/or have the opportunity to reduce environmental impacts in our supply chain. We have partnered with customers, NGOs and our suppliers, specifically growers, on opportunities to create shared value.

Impact of the engagement and measures of success
For example, we have longstanding partnerships with our tomato growers in California. Most of our tomato farmers have worked with us for decades. We have a dedicated Sustainable Agriculture Program that focuses on our key ingredients, including tomatoes. In this program, we work with our suppliers and growers to adopt sustainable practices. Our relationship with our tomato growers has enabled us to work closely on sustainability goals, like water reduction. Since 2012 Campbell has worked with tomato growers to drive adoption of drip irrigation and moisture monitoring, leading to reductions of 28% in water use per pound of tomatoes This engagement allows us to make our ingredients more sustainable.

For years, we have worked with growers individually to embed sustainability into field management, while using data to inform best practices. Engagement with our growers is measured annually through a survey to growers where we request data and information on their practices to assess progress against our external facing commitments and to assess improvement in environmentally friendly practices.

Additionally, in F22 we launched a new Campbell sustainable practices adoption fund, which will provide grants to our farmers to conduct soil health testing and help to offset the costs of trialing new sustainable practices, such as soil moisture monitoring.

W2. Business impacts

W2.1

(W2.1) Has your organization experienced any detrimental water-related impacts?
No

W2.2

(W2.2) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties	Comment
Row 1	No	<Not Applicable>	Campbell did not have any water-related regulatory violations in FY2022.

W3. Procedures

W3.1

(W3.1) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

	Identification and classification of potential water pollutants	How potential water pollutants are identified and classified	Please explain
Row 1	No, we do not identify and classify our potential water pollutants	<Not Applicable>	

W3.3

(W3.3) Does your organization undertake a water-related risk assessment?
Yes, water-related risks are assessed

W3.3a

(W3.3a) Select the options that best describe your procedures for identifying and assessing water-related risks.

Value chain stage
Direct operations

Coverage
Full

Risk assessment procedure
Water risks are assessed as a standalone issue

Frequency of assessment
Every two years

How far into the future are risks considered?
More than 6 years

Type of tools and methods used
Tools on the market
Other

Tools and methods used
WRI Aqueduct
WWF Water Risk Filter
External consultants

Contextual issues considered
Water availability at a basin/catchment level
Water quality at a basin/catchment level
Stakeholder conflicts concerning water resources at a basin/catchment level
Implications of water on your key commodities/raw materials
Water regulatory frameworks
Status of ecosystems and habitats
Access to fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services for all employees

Stakeholders considered
Investors
Local communities
Regulators
Suppliers
Other water users at the basin/catchment level

Comment
Water availability at a basin/catchment level is included because water availability is critical to Campbell's operations and its suppliers and potential impacts to water availability could disrupt or reduce product availability within our supply chain and increase our cost of goods. Access to good water quality is considered because maintaining the integrity of water is essential throughout the food processing value chain, including our operations, to ensure food safety and quality. We assess stakeholder conflicts concerning water resources because they have the potential to impact Campbell's business continuity, license to operate and brand value. Implications of water on our key commodities and raw materials are considered so we can structure our water stewardship actions to address these issues. For example, we monitor drought conditions in California to monitor product availability. Status of ecosystems and habitats are considered because it's essential to Campbell's water stewardship program to avoid potential impact from our operations.

We consider local communities because we are committed to practicing good water stewardship and helping address shared water challenges in communities in which we live and operate. Regulators are relevant to Campbell because it is critical to our business that operations comply with all water-related regulatory frameworks set by the regulating agencies. Suppliers are also considered because Campbell relies on its supply chain to provide adequate supplies of food service and related products. Other water users are considered because we aim to be a responsible neighbor.

W3.3b

(W3.3b) Describe your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and responding to water-related risks within your direct operations and other stages of your value chain.

	Rationale for approach to risk assessment	Explanation of contextual issues considered	Explanation of stakeholders considered	Decision-making process for risk response
Row 1				

W4. Risks and opportunities

W4.1

(W4.1) Have you identified any inherent water-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?
Yes, both in direct operations and the rest of our value chain

W4.1a

(W4.1a) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

Campbell does not define “substantive financial or strategic impact to the business” as it relates to water related risk. For a description of risks or uncertainties that could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations, see our 2022 Form 10-K.

The company has conducted water risk assessments. Certain results from these assessments are included in 4.1b.

W4.1b

(W4.1b) What is the total number of facilities exposed to water risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and what proportion of your company-wide facilities does this represent?

	Total number of facilities exposed to water risk	% company-wide facilities this represents	Comment
Row 1	21	1-25	Twenty one Campbell facilities, representing over 90% of water consumed by our direct operations, were identified as having basin-level water risk. According to the risk modelling tools used in our analysis, these direct operations were identified as experiencing extremely high or high: • Current basin water risk; • Current or future water stress levels; • Interannual variability, seasonal variability, drought, or flood risk; and/or • Water quality risk

W4.1c

(W4.1c) By river basin, what is the number and proportion of facilities exposed to water risks that could have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and what is the potential business impact associated with those facilities?

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

Number of facilities exposed to water risk
1

% company-wide facilities this represents
Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company’s annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company’s global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company’s total global revenue that could be affected
Please select

Comment
The risk assessment that was conducted included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified in 4.1b represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Delaware River

Number of facilities exposed to water risk
1

% company-wide facilities this represents
Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company’s annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company’s global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company’s total global revenue that could be affected
Please select

Comment
The risk assessment that was conducted included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified in 4.1b represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (St. Lawrence)

Number of facilities exposed to water risk
5

% company-wide facilities this represents
Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected
Please select

Comment
The risk assessment that was conducted included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified in 4.1b represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (Sacramento)

Number of facilities exposed to water risk
2

% company-wide facilities this represents
Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected
Please select

Comment
The risk assessment that was conducted included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified in 4.1b represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Great Salt Lake

Number of facilities exposed to water risk
1

% company-wide facilities this represents
Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected
Please select

Comment
The risk assessment that was conducted included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified in 4.1b represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (Pee Dee River)

Number of facilities exposed to water risk
1

% company-wide facilities this represents
Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected
Please select

Comment
The risk assessment that was conducted included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified in 4.1b represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (Columbia)

Number of facilities exposed to water risk
1

% company-wide facilities this represents
Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected
Please select

Comment
The risk assessment that was conducted included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified in 4.1b represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Santee River

Number of facilities exposed to water risk
1

% company-wide facilities this represents
Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected
Please select

Comment
The risk assessment that was conducted included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified in 4.1b represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Susquehanna River

Number of facilities exposed to water risk
2

% company-wide facilities this represents
Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected
Please select

Comment
The risk assessment that was conducted included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified in 4.1b represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Mississippi River

Number of facilities exposed to water risk
5

% company-wide facilities this represents
Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected
Please select

Comment
The risk assessment that was conducted included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified in 4.1b represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (California)

Number of facilities exposed to water risk
1

% company-wide facilities this represents
Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities
<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected
Please select

Comment
The risk assessment that was conducted included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified in 4.1b represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage.

W4.2

(W4.2) Provide details of identified risks in your direct operations with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and your response to those risks.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Pee Dee River

Type of risk & Primary risk driver

Please select

Primary potential impact
Reduction or disruption in production capacity

Company-specific description
We have identified potential risks at one or more facilities in this basin. Facilities in this basin represent significant water users in our operations.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact

Primary response to risk
Establish site-specific targets

Description of response
Each of our facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025.

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (St. Lawrence)

Type of risk & Primary risk driver
Please select

Primary potential impact
Reduction or disruption in production capacity

Company-specific description
We have identified potential risks at one or more facilities in this basin. Facilities in this basin represent significant water users in our operations.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact

Primary response to risk
Establish site-specific targets

Description of response
Each of our manufacturing facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025.

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (Mississippi-Missouri)

Type of risk & Primary risk driver
Please select

Primary potential impact
Reduction or disruption in production capacity

Company-specific description
We have identified potential risks at one or more facilities in this basin. Facilities in this basin represent significant water users in our operations.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact

Primary response to risk
Establish site-specific targets

Description of response
Each of our manufacturing facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025.

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (Sacramento)

Type of risk & Primary risk driver
Please select

Primary potential impact
Reduction or disruption in production capacity

Company-specific description
We have identified potential risks at one or more facilities in this basin. Facilities in this basin represent significant water users in our operations.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact

Primary response to risk
Establish site-specific targets

Description of response
Each of our manufacturing facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025.

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

W4.2a

(W4.2a) Provide details of risks identified within your value chain (beyond direct operations) with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and your response to those risks.

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Columbia River

Stage of value chain
Supply chain

Type of risk & Primary risk driver
Please select

Primary potential impact
Supply chain disruption

Company-specific description
Campbell completed a water inventory and basin-level risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using FY22 data. The assessment utilized the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wide Fund for Nature's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. The supply chain coverage aligns with Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) Standard guidance.
We determined that approximately 50% of Campbell's priority raw materials that are sourced from areas at risk of current or future water stress, are sourced from eight basins.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact
Within these basins, we have determined that there is water-related risk to some supplier locations. Disruptions to these suppliers could have the potential to create financial impact by forcing changes to our supply chain.

Primary response to risk
Please select

Description of response

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (California)

Stage of value chain
Supply chain

Type of risk & Primary risk driver
Please select

Primary potential impact
Supply chain disruption

Company-specific description
Campbell completed a water inventory and basin-level risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using FY22 data. The assessment utilized the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wide Fund for Nature's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. The supply chain coverage aligns with Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) Standard guidance.
We determined that approximately 50% of Campbell's priority raw materials that are sourced from areas at risk of current or future water stress, are sourced from eight basins.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact
Within these basins, we have determined that there is water-related risk to some supplier locations. Disruptions to these suppliers could have the potential to create financial impact by forcing changes to our supply chain.

Primary response to risk
Please select

Description of response

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Mississippi River

Stage of value chain
Supply chain

Type of risk & Primary risk driver
Please select

Primary potential impact
Supply chain disruption

Company-specific description
Campbell completed a water inventory and basin-level risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using FY22 data. The assessment utilized the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wide Fund for Nature's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. The supply chain coverage aligns with Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) Standard guidance.
We determined that approximately 50% of Campbell's priority raw materials that are sourced from areas at risk of current or future water stress, are sourced from eight basins.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact
Within these basins, we have determined that there is water-related risk to some supplier locations. Disruptions to these suppliers could have the potential to create financial impact by forcing changes to our supply chain.

Primary response to risk
Please select

Description of response

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

Country/Area & River basin

Canada	Nelson River

Stage of value chain
Supply chain

Type of risk & Primary risk driver
Please select

Primary potential impact
Supply chain disruption

Company-specific description
Campbell completed a water inventory and basin-level risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using FY22 data. The assessment utilized the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wide Fund for Nature's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. The supply chain coverage aligns with Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) Standard guidance.
We determined that approximately 50% of Campbell's priority raw materials that are sourced from areas at risk of current or future water stress, are sourced from eight basins.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact
Within these basins, we have determined that there is water-related risk to some supplier locations. Disruptions to these suppliers could have the potential to create financial impact by forcing changes to our supply chain.

Primary response to risk
Please select

Description of response

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

Stage of value chain
Supply chain

Type of risk & Primary risk driver
Please select

Primary potential impact
Supply chain disruption

Company-specific description
Campbell completed a water inventory and basin-level risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using FY22 data. The assessment utilized the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wide Fund for Nature's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. The supply chain coverage aligns with Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) Standard guidance.
We determined that approximately 50% of Campbell's priority raw materials that are sourced from areas at risk of current or future water stress, are sourced from eight basins.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact
Within these basins, we have determined that there is water-related risk to some supplier locations. Disruptions to these suppliers could have the potential to create financial impact by forcing changes to our supply chain.

Primary response to risk
Please select

Description of response

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Trinity River (Texas)

Stage of value chain
Supply chain

Type of risk & Primary risk driver
Please select

Primary potential impact
Supply chain disruption

Company-specific description
Campbell completed a water inventory and basin-level risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using FY22 data. The assessment utilized the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wide Fund for Nature's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. The supply chain coverage aligns with Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) Standard guidance.
We determined that approximately 50% of Campbell's priority raw materials that are sourced from areas at risk of current or future water stress, are sourced from eight basins.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact
Within these basins, we have determined that there is water-related risk to some supplier locations. Disruptions to these suppliers could have the potential to create financial impact by forcing changes to our supply chain

Primary response to risk
Please select

Description of response

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Bravo

Stage of value chain
Supply chain

Type of risk & Primary risk driver
Please select

Primary potential impact

Supply chain disruption

Company-specific description
Campbell completed a water inventory and basin-level risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using FY22 data. The assessment utilized the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wide Fund for Nature's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. The supply chain coverage aligns with Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) Standard guidance.
We determined that approximately 50% of Campbell's priority raw materials that are sourced from areas at risk of current or future water stress, are sourced from eight basins.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact
Within these basins, we have determined that there is water-related risk to some supplier locations. Disruptions to these suppliers could have the potential to create financial impact by forcing changes to our supply chain

Primary response to risk
Please select

Description of response

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

Stage of value chain
Supply chain

Type of risk & Primary risk driver
Please select

Primary potential impact
Please select

Company-specific description
Campbell completed a water inventory and basin-level risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using FY22 data. The assessment utilized the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wide Fund for Nature's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. The supply chain coverage aligns with Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) Standard guidance.
We determined that approximately 50% of Campbell's priority raw materials that are sourced from areas at risk of current or future water stress, are sourced from eight basins.

Timeframe
Please select

Magnitude of potential impact
Please select

Likelihood
Please select

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact
Within these basins, we have determined that there is water-related risk to some supplier locations. Disruptions to these suppliers could have the potential to create

financial impact by forcing changes to our supply chain

Primary response to risk
Please select

Description of response

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response

W4.3

(W4.3) Have you identified any water-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?
No

W4.3b

(W4.3b) Why does your organization not consider itself to have water-related opportunities?

	Primary reason	Please explain
Row 1	Evaluation in progress	We have completed an assessment of our direct operations and supply chain. Going forward we expect to apply the results of that assessment to inform opportunities.

W5. Facility-level water accounting

W5.1

(W5.1) For each facility referenced in W4.1c, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Facility reference number
Facility 1

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (California)

Latitude
34.082093

Longitude
-117.584629

Located in area with water stress
Yes

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
1.75

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Please select

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 2

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

Latitude
33.459487

Longitude
-112.375466

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
65.69

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
39.16

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 3

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Delaware River

Latitude
40.003384

Longitude
-75.68592

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
132.59

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Higher

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
62.52

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 4

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (Great Lakes)

Latitude
42.861447

Longitude
-87.974574

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
84.94

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
78.74

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 5

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	St. Lawrence

Latitude
43.743682

Longitude
-79.707794

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Please select

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Please select

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain
Non-material water use

Facility reference number
Facility 6

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (Great Lakes)

Latitude

41.397831

Longitude
-84.108595

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
9417.13

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Higher

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
10116.55

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Higher

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 7

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	St. Lawrence

Latitude
41.039123

Longitude
-83.6503

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Please select

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Please select

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain
Non-material water use

Facility reference number
Facility 8

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (Great Lakes)

Latitude
41.052923

Longitude
-82.709992

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
249.01

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Higher

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
221.81

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Higher

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 9

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Great Salt Lake

Latitude
41.938061

Longitude
-111.813969

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
62.16

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
22.13

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Higher

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 10

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (Ohio)

Latitude
38.290298

Longitude
-85.748034

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
234.12

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Higher

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
258.18

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Higher

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 11

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (Ohio)

Latitude
40.619544

Longitude
-85.508632

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Please select

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Please select

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain
Non-material water use

Facility reference number

Facility 12

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Pee Dee River

Latitude
34.778115

Longitude
-79.319653

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
5819.03

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Higher

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
3475.54

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 13

Facility name (optional)
Campbell Paris Plant

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Other, please specify (Red)

Latitude
33.685737

Longitude
-95.562614

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
5258.79

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Higher

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
2986.93

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 14

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

Latitude
38.475663

Longitude
-121.803887

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
981.3

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
1721.35

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Higher

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 15

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

Latitude
37.909315

Longitude
-121.264955

Located in area with water stress
Yes

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
233.67

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Higher

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
18.92

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 16

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Santee River

Latitude
35.121364

Longitude
-80.880454

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
241.19

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
About the same

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
18.74

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 17

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Susquehanna River

Latitude
40.227536

Longitude
-76.089303

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
114.39

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
93.26

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 18

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Susquehanna River

Latitude
39.805079

Longitude
-76.949903

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
125.6

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
179.37

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Higher

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 19

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Mississippi River

Latitude
41.794306

Longitude
-87.987388

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
41.48

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
About the same

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
7.39

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 20

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Mississippi River

Latitude
42.50627

Longitude
-88.976022

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
134.11

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
126.66

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Higher

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

Facility reference number
Facility 21

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Columbia River

Latitude
45.379942

Longitude
-122.77854

Located in area with water stress
No

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility
<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division
<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)
636.28

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year
Please select

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

Withdrawals from third party sources

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)
607.82

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year
Please select

Discharges to fresh surface water

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

Discharges to groundwater

Discharges to third party destinations

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year
Please select

Please explain

W5.1a

(W5.1a) For the facilities referenced in W5.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

% verified
76-100

Verification standard used
ISAE 3000

Please explain
<Not Applicable>

Water withdrawals – volume by source

% verified
Not verified

Verification standard used
<Not Applicable>

Please explain

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

% verified
Not verified

Verification standard used
<Not Applicable>

Please explain

Water discharges – total volumes

% verified
76-100

Verification standard used
ISAE 3000

Please explain
<Not Applicable>

Water discharges – volume by destination

% verified
Not verified

Verification standard used
<Not Applicable>

Please explain

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

% verified
Not verified

Verification standard used
<Not Applicable>

Please explain

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

% verified
Not verified

Verification standard used
<Not Applicable>

Please explain

Water consumption – total volume

% verified
Not verified

Verification standard used
<Not Applicable>

Please explain

W6. Governance

W6.1

(W6.1) Does your organization have a water policy?

Yes, we have a documented water policy that is publicly available

W6.1a

(W6.1a) Select the options that best describe the scope and content of your water policy.

	Scope	Content	Please explain
Row 1	Company-wide	Description of business dependency on water Description of business impact on water Commitment to align with international frameworks, standards, and widely-recognized water initiatives Commitment to reduce water withdrawal and/or consumption volumes in direct operations Commitment to water stewardship and/or collective action Commitments beyond regulatory compliance Acknowledgement of the human right to water and sanitation	Campbell's Water Policy is company-wide; it applies to all of our operations and employees, Our policy explains our dependency on water both in our own operations, in our supply chain and for the communities where we operate. As part of the food industry, we understand our demand on freshwater systems and our impacts. We are committed to water stewardship in both our direct operations and through procurement standards for our suppliers. In our policy, we reference our goals and our commitment to the SDGs. Our commitments go beyond regulatory compliance and we use a collective action approach to stewardship. We also acknowledge the human right to water.

W6.2

(W6.2) Is there board level oversight of water-related issues within your organization?

Yes

W6.2a

(W6.2a) Identify the position(s) (do not include any names) of the individual(s) on the board with responsibility for water-related issues.

Position of individual or committee	Responsibilities for water-related issues
Board-level committee	The Governance Committee of our Board of Directors oversees ESG activities. The Committee plays a role in the continued evolution of Campbell's ESG strategy and public reporting. The Committee receives full scorecards for ESG performance, including water metrics. This past year, the Board was engaged on topics including our Science Based Target, stakeholder engagement on climate topics, overall ESG strategy, and future plans for key elements of that strategy.
Chief Executive Officer (CEO)	Our CEO monitors water-related issues primarily through our Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability team, which presents regularly to the Corporate Leadership Team and raises issues as they arise throughout the year. The CEO was actively involved in our commitment to an SBT and the setting of that target. In addition, the Board, including Campbell's CEO, reviews an annual Enterprise Risk Management report which includes sustainability -related risks and opportunities pertaining to business continuity and supply chain resilience.

W6.2b

(W6.2b) Provide further details on the board's oversight of water-related issues.

	Frequency that water-related issues are a scheduled agenda item	Governance mechanisms into which water-related issues are integrated	Please explain
Row 1	Scheduled - some meetings	Monitoring implementation and performance Providing employee incentives Reviewing and guiding business plans Reviewing and guiding corporate responsibility strategy Reviewing and guiding major plans of action Reviewing and guiding risk management policies Reviewing and guiding strategy	The VP, Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability (CR&S) formally presents water-related issues to the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors at least twice per year. Examples of the types of issues brought to the Board include: the progress toward our operational water goal and conducting a value chain water risk assessment. Water-related issues may also be presented to the Board once per year by the Enterprise Risk Management team.

W6.2d

(W6.2d) Does your organization have at least one board member with competence on water-related issues?

	Board member(s) have competence on water-related issues	Criteria used to assess competence of board member(s) on water-related issues	Primary reason for no board-level competence on water-related issues	Explain why your organization does not have at least one board member with competence on water-related issues and any plans to address board-level competence in the future
Row 1	Yes	A member of the Campbell Soup Company Board of Directors is an entrepreneur who has invested in a variety of successful for-profit and non-profit enterprises focused on sustainability, land management and health and wellness.	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>

W6.3

(W6.3) Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for water-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)
Other, please specify (Vice President, Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability)

Water-related responsibilities of this position
Assessing water-related risks and opportunities
Managing water-related risks and opportunities

Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues
Half-yearly

Please explain
Campbell's Vice President, Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability (CR&S) stewards the sustainability strategy and governance structures that allow us to embed ESG strategy into the organization. Water-related issues are included in Campbell's ESG strategy, both from an operational perspective and from an agricultural and supply chain perspective.

Through enterprise participation in major sustainability membership organizations and sustainability conferences and through the subject matter expertise on Campbell's CR&S team, the Vice President, CR&S is able to monitor emerging issues and provide thought leadership and guidance to the Corporate Leadership Team. The Vice President, CR&S provides updates to the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors regularly. This update includes company performance against GHG emissions, water and waste targets, among other important ESG priorities.

W6.4

(W6.4) Do you provide incentives to C-suite employees or board members for the management of water-related issues?

	Provide incentives for management of water-related issues	Comment
Row 1	Yes	

W6.4a

(W6.4a) What incentives are provided to C-suite employees or board members for the management of water-related issues (do not include the names of individuals)?

	Role(s) entitled to incentive	Performance indicator	Contribution of incentives to the achievement of your organization's water commitments	Please explain
Monetary reward	Other C-suite Officer (Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Chief Sustainability, Corporate Responsibility and Governance Officer)	Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations		Incentive compensation was linked with sustainability progress for our Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Chief Sustainability, Corporate Responsibility and Governance Officer
Non-monetary reward	Please select	Please select		

W6.5

(W6.5) Do you engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence public policy on water through any of the following?
Yes, trade associations
Yes, other

W6.5a

(W6.5a) What processes do you have in place to ensure that all of your direct and indirect activities seeking to influence policy are consistent with your water policy/water commitments?

The Campbell Board of Directors oversees environmental, social and governance ("ESG") activities and has delegated responsibility for that oversight to the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors. Environmental sustainability goals and progress are presented at least twice per year to the Governance Committee.

A cross functional team, including members of Government Affairs, Sustainability, Legal and Communications, reviews external activities to ensure alignment.

W6.6

(W6.6) Did your organization include information about its response to water-related risks in its most recent mainstream financial report?

No, and we have no plans to do so

W7. Business strategy

W7.1

(W7.1) Are water-related issues integrated into any aspects of your long-term strategic business plan, and if so how?

	Are water-related issues integrated?	Long-term time horizon (years)	Please explain
Long-term business objectives	No, water-related issues not yet reviewed, but there are plans to do so in the next two years	<Not Applicable>	While we are working to assess water risks in our supply chain, we have not strategically integrated these issues into our long-term business plans, but plan to do so in the next two years.
Strategy for achieving long-term objectives	No, water-related issues not yet reviewed, but there are plans to do so in the next two years	<Not Applicable>	While we are working to assess water risks in our supply chain, we have not strategically integrated these issues into our long-term business plans, but plan to do so in the next two years.
Financial planning	No, water-related issues not yet reviewed, but there are plans to do so in the next two years	<Not Applicable>	While we are working to assess water risks in our supply chain, we have not strategically integrated these issues into our long-term business plans, but plan to do so in the next two years.

W7.2

(W7.2) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

Row 1

Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

1

Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

Please explain

In FY23 we experienced an increase in CAPEX due to proposed water efficiency investment projects at our largest sites. We expect this trend to continue in FY2024.

W7.3

(W7.3) Does your organization use scenario analysis to inform its business strategy?

	Use of scenario analysis	Comment
Row 1	No, but we anticipate doing so within the next two years	We have conducted climate screening and scenarios analyses in FY22 and FY23. These analyses have included water-related topics. We intend to use the results of these analyses, and other inputs, to inform strategy going forward.

W7.4

(W7.4) Does your company use an internal price on water?

Row 1

Does your company use an internal price on water?

No, and we do not anticipate doing so within the next two years

Please explain

W7.5

(W7.5) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

	Products and/or services classified as low water impact	Definition used to classify low water impact	Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact	Please explain
Row 1	No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years	<Not Applicable>	Other, please specify (We focus efforts on our upstream supply chain impacts.)	

W8. Targets

W8.1

(W8.1) Do you have any water-related targets?

Yes

W8.1a

(W8.1a) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

	Target set in this category	Please explain
Water pollution	No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	
Water withdrawals	Yes	<Not Applicable>
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	
Other	No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	

W8.1b

(W8.1b) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Target reference number
Target 1

Category of target
Water withdrawals

Target coverage
Company-wide (direct operations only)

Quantitative metric
Reduction in total water withdrawals

Year target was set
2017

Base year
2017

Base year figure
22800911

Target year
2025

Target year figure
18240728

Reporting year figure
23839277

% of target achieved relative to base year

Target status in reporting year
Underway

Please explain
Due to growth, water use has increased 5% since FY2017. Additional investments are planned to reduce water consumption this coming year.

W9. Verification

W9.1

(W9.1) Do you verify any other water information reported in your CDP disclosure (not already covered by W5.1a)?

Yes

W9.1a

(W9.1a) Which data points within your CDP disclosure have been verified, and which standards were used?

Disclosure module	Data verified	Verification standard	Please explain
W1 Current state	We verified our operational water withdrawal.	ISAE 3000	We verify water withdrawal data because it is relevant for our operational water reduction goal and we want to be sure that the data used to track progress against our goal is accurate. We verify this water data annually.
W1 Current state	We verified our operational water discharge	ISAE 3000	We verify water discharge data because it is relevant for our operational water reduction goal and we want to be sure that the data used to track progress against our goal is accurate. We verify this water data annually.

W10. Plastics

W10.1

(W10.1) Have you mapped where in your value chain plastics are used and/or produced?

	Plastics mapping	Value chain stage	Please explain
Row 1	Please select	<Not Applicable>	

W10.2

(W10.2) Across your value chain, have you assessed the potential environmental and human health impacts of your use and/or production of plastics?

	Impact assessment	Value chain stage	Please explain
Row 1	Please select	<Not Applicable>	

W10.3

(W10.3) Across your value chain, are you exposed to plastics-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business? If so, provide details.

	Risk exposure	Value chain stage	Type of risk	Please explain
Row 1	Please select	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	

W10.4

(W10.4) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

	Targets in place	Target type	Target metric	Please explain
Row 1	Please select	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	

W10.5

(W10.5) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

	Activity applies	Comment
Production of plastic polymers	Please select	
Production of durable plastic components	Please select	
Production / commercialization of durable plastic goods (including mixed materials)	Please select	
Production / commercialization of plastic packaging	Please select	
Production of goods packaged in plastics	Please select	
Provision / commercialization of services or goods that use plastic packaging (e.g., retail and food services)	Please select	

W11. Sign off

W-FI

(W-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

W11.1

(W11.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP water response.

	Job title	Corresponding job category
Row 1	Vice President, Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability	Other, please specify (Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability Vice President)

SW. Supply chain module

SW0.1

(SW0.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

	Annual revenue
Row 1	8562000000

SW1.1

(SW1.1) Could any of your facilities reported in W5.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

We do not have this data and have no intentions to collect it

SW1.2

(SW1.2) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
Row 1	No, we do not have this data and have no plans to collect it	

SW2.1

(SW2.1) Please propose any mutually beneficial water-related projects you could collaborate on with specific CDP supply chain members.

SW2.2

(SW2.2) Have any water projects been implemented due to CDP supply chain member engagement?

No

SW3.1

(SW3.1) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.

Submit your response

In which language are you submitting your response?

English

Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

	I understand that my response will be shared with all requesting stakeholders	Response permission
Please select your submission options	Yes	Public

Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

No

Please confirm below

I have read and accept the applicable Terms