



Campbell Soup Company

# 2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

**Important: this export excludes unanswered questions**

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

# Contents

<b>C1. Introduction</b>	<b>8</b>
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?	8
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.	8
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	8
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.	9
(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?	10
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	10
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?	10
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.	12
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	12
(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.	13
(1.11) Are greenhouse gas emissions and/or water-related impacts from the production, processing/manufacturing, distribution activities or the consumption of your products relevant to your current CDP disclosure?	24
(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.	26
(1.23) Which of the following agricultural commodities that your organization produces and/or sources are the most significant to your business by revenue?	32
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	37
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?	38
(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)?	39
<b>C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities</b>	<b>43</b>
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	43
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?	44
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?	45
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.	46
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?	57
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?	58

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health? ... 59

**C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities ..... 60**

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?..... 60

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future. .... 62

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent? .. 67

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations? ..... 77

(3.3.1) Provide the total number and financial value of all water-related fines. .... 78

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)? ..... 78

(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations. .... 79

(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by. .... 79

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by? ..... 80

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? ..... 80

**C4. Governance ..... 82**

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body? ..... 82

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization? ..... 82

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board’s oversight of environmental issues. .... 83

(4.2) Does your organization’s board have competency on environmental issues? ..... 88

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?..... 91

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). .... 91

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets? ..... 100

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). .... 101

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?..... 103

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies. .... 103

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives? ..... 110

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment? .....	110
(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year? .....	112
(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.....	114
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response? .....	115
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.....	115
<b>C5. Business strategy.....</b>	<b>118</b>
(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes? .....	118
(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis. ....	119
(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis. ....	137
(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan? .....	138
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning? .....	139
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy. ....	140
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning. ....	142
(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition? .....	143
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities? .....	143
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues? .....	143
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment? .....	145
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues? .....	146
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process? .....	149
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization’s purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place. ....	150
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues. ....	152
<b>C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach.....</b>	<b>163</b>
(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data. ....	163
<b>C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change .....</b>	<b>164</b>

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP? .....	164
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data? .	164
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year? .....	164
(7.1.3) Have your organization’s base year emissions and past years’ emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2? .....	165
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.....	166
(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.....	166
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure? .....	167
(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure. ....	167
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions. ....	168
(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e? .....	176
(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e? .....	176
(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions. ....	178
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions. ....	185
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements. ....	185
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.....	186
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?.....	188
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year. .	188
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?.....	188
(7.13) Is biogenic carbon pertaining to your direct operations relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure? .....	188
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type? .....	189
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area. ....	189
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. ....	189
(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division. ....	189
(7.18) Do you include emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) in your direct operations as part of your global gross Scope 1 figure?.....	190
(7.18.2) Report the Scope 1 emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) and explain any exclusions. If applicable, disaggregate your agricultural/forestry by GHG emissions category. ....	190
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. ....	191
(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division. ....	191

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response. ....	191
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response? .....	192
(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?.....	192
(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future? .....	192
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy? .....	193
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken. ....	193
(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh. ....	194
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel. ....	194
(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7. ....	195
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations. ....	197
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.....	198
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year? .....	199
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets. ....	199
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year? .....	206
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets. ....	207
(7.68) Do you encourage your suppliers to undertake any agricultural or forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits?.....	213
(7.68.1) Specify which agricultural or forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits you encourage your suppliers to undertake and describe your role in the implementation of each practice.....	214
(7.68.2) Do you collect information from your suppliers about the outcomes of any implemented agricultural/forest management practices you have encouraged? .....	221
(7.70) Do you know if any of the management practices mentioned in 7.68.1 that were implemented by your suppliers have other impacts besides climate change mitigation/adaptation? .....	221
(7.70.1) Provide details of those management practices implemented by your suppliers that have other impacts besides climate change mitigation/adaptation.....	221
(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services? .....	222
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products? .....	222
(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year? .....	222

**C8. Environmental performance - Forests ..... 224**

(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?.....	224
---	-----

(8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity .....	224
(8.2.1) Provide details on any soy embedded in animal products sourced by your organization.....	225
(8.5) Provide details on the origins of your sourced volumes. ....	226
(8.6) Does your organization produce or source palm oil derived biofuel? .....	231
(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year? .....	231
(8.7.2) Provide details of other targets related to your commodities, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target, and progress made against them. ....	236
(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used.....	238
(8.8.1) Provide details of the point to which your organization can trace its sourced volumes. ....	240
(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities. ....	244
(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities. ....	245
(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members. ....	248
(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?.....	250
(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details. ....	252
(8.16) Do you participate in any other external activities to support the implementation of policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains? .....	253
(8.16.1) Provide details of the external activities to support the implementation of your policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains.....	253
(8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection?.....	254
<b>C9. Environmental performance - Water security .....</b>	<b>256</b>
(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data? .....	256
(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions. ....	256
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored? .....	257
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change? .....	264
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change. ...	265
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source. ....	266
(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination. ....	268

(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.....	269
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? .....	271
(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified? .....	272
(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member? .....	274
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact? .....	274
(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets? .....	275
(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.....	275
(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.....	276

**C10. Environmental performance - Plastics ..... 279**

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type? .....	279
(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities. ....	280
(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content. ....	283

**C13. Further information & sign off ..... 284**

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party? ..	284
(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used? .....	284
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response. ....	285
(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website. ....	285

## C1. Introduction

### (1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

English

### (1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

USD

### (1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

#### (1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

#### (1.3.3) Description of organization

*The Campbell's Company (NASDAQ: CPB) is committed to making good, honest and affordable food that that people love. For 155 years, we have expressed our purpose in different ways to reflect the evolution of our business and the market, but it has always included connecting people through food while having a positive impact on the communities we call home and preserving the resources on the planet we share. Headquartered in Camden, New Jersey, and with net sales of approximately \$9.6 billion in fiscal year 2024 (FY24), Campbell is a focused brand powerhouse. Our business is comprised of two segments: Meals & Beverages (M&B) and Snacks. M&B includes the following products: Campbell's condensed and ready-to-serve soups; Swanson broth and stocks; Pacific Foods broth, soups and non-dairy beverages; Prego pasta sauces; Pace Mexican sauces; Campbell's gravies, pasta, beans and dinner sauces; Swanson canned poultry; V8 juices and beverages; and Campbell's tomato juice; and as of March 12, 2024, Rao's pasta sauces, dry pasta, frozen entrees, frozen pizza, and soups; Michael Angelo's frozen entrees and pasta sauces. The Snacks division consists of Pepperidge Farm cookies, crackers, fresh bakery and frozen products, including Farmhouse cookies, Milano cookies and Goldfish crackers, as well as Snyder's of Hanover pretzels, Lance sandwich crackers, Cape Cod and Kettle Brand potato chips, Late July snacks, Snack Factory pretzel crisps, and other products. In FY21, we committed to setting a Science-Based Target (SBT). We secured approval of those targets from the Campbell Leadership team and Board of Directors in late 2021, and from the Science Based Targets initiative in early 2022. We have committed to reduce absolute Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions 42% by Fiscal 2030 from a Fiscal 2020 base year. This is in line with a 1.5 C trajectory. We have committed to reduce Scope 3 GHG emissions from purchased goods and services and upstream transportation and distribution by 25%. We have a series of other external commitments to drive impact*

and our Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) strategy, all of which can be found in our 2024 Corporate Responsibility Report and 2025 Data Update. This submission and other Campbell reports may use certain terms that certain third-party entities refer to as “material” in connection with certain sustainability and social impact matters. Used in this context, this term is distinct from, and should not be confused with, the terms “material” and “materiality” as defined by, or construed in accordance with, securities or other laws and regulations. Matters considered material for purposes of this report may not be considered material in the context of our financial statements, reports with the SEC, or our other public statements, and the inclusion of information in this report is not an indication that such information is necessarily material to us in those contexts. Sovos Brands, Inc. is not included in the contents of this report or any historical Campbell's reporting. Unless otherwise noted, this reporting covers initiatives and performance metrics associated with our operations for our 2024 fiscal year, spanning July 31, 2023 through July 28, 2024. [Fixed row]

**(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.**

**(1.4.1) End date of reporting year**

07/28/2024

**(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for**

Select from:

2 years

**(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for**

Select from:

2 years

**(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for**

Select from:

Not providing past emissions data for Scope 3

[Fixed row]

**(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?**

9636000000

**(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.**

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?	How does your reporting boundary differ to that used in your financial statement?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	We report all environmental metrics using operational control boundary

[Fixed row]

**(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?**

**ISIN code - bond**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

No

**ISIN code - equity**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

No

**CUSIP number**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

No

**Ticker symbol**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier**

CPB

**SEDOL code**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

No

**LEI number**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

No

### D-U-N-S number

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

No

### Other unique identifier

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

No

[Add row]

### **(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.**

Select all that apply

Canada

Mexico

United States of America

### **(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?**

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, for some facilities	<i>We provide approximate geolocation information for our manufacturing plants.</i>

[Fixed row]

**(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.**

**Row 1**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Ashland*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

40.848832

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-82.345858

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 2**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Beloit*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

42.50627

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-88.976022

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 3**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Bloomfield*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

41.867637

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-72.720097

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 4**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Charlotte*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

35.121364

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-80.880454

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 5**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Denver*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

40.227536

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-76.089303

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 6**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Dixon*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

38.475663

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-121.803887

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*M&B manufacturing plant*

**Row 7**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Downers Grover*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

41.794306

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-87.987388

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 8**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Downingtown*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

40.003384

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-75.68592

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 9**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Franklin*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

42.861447

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-87.974574

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 10**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Goodyear*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

33.459487

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-112.375466

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 11**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Hanover*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

39.805079

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-76.949903

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 12**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Hyannis*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

41.68138

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-70.29245

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 13**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Jeffersonville*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

38.290298

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-85.748034

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 14**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Lakeland*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

28.074151

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-81.979059

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 15**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Maxton*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

34.778115

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-79.319653

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*M&B manufacturing plant*

**Row 16**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Milwaukee*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

42.951968

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-87.918273

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*M&B manufacturing plant*

**Row 17**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Napoleon*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

41.397831

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-84.108595

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*M&B manufacturing plant*

**Row 18**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Paris*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

33.685737

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-95.562614

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*M&B manufacturing plant*

**Row 19**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Richmond*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

41.938061

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-111.813969

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 20**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Salem*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

44.926465

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-122.997953

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

**Row 21**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Stockton*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

37.909315

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-121.264955

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*M&B manufacturing plant*

**Row 22**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Tualatin*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

45.379776

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-122.783165

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*M&B manufacturing plant*

**Row 23**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Willard*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

41.052923

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-82.709992

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*Snacks manufacturing plant*

*[Add row]*

**(1.11) Are greenhouse gas emissions and/or water-related impacts from the production, processing/manufacturing, distribution activities or the consumption of your products relevant to your current CDP disclosure?**

## Production

### (1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

- Value chain (excluding own land)

### (1.11.2) Primary reason emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

Select from:

- Do not own/manage land

### (1.11.3) Explain why emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

*We do not own or manage agriculture land. We collect water data from some of our growers of our priority plant-based ingredients. These data are reported separately from our direct operations water usage. The emissions related to the production of our purchased goods are calculated in Category 1 scope 3 emissions.*

## Processing/ Manufacturing

### (1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

- Both direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

## Distribution

### (1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

- Both direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

## Consumption

### (1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

No

### (1.11.2) Primary reason emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

Select from:

Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

### (1.11.3) Explain why emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

*Campbell's end products are consumed as food. End-of-life treatment of sold products was calculated as part of the company's scope 3 baseline and Science-based Target. Emissions in this category were smaller than other Scope 3 categories (less than 5% of total Scope 3 emissions).*

*[Fixed row]*

## (1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.

### Timber products

#### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

#### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

Manufacturing

#### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No, the total volume is confidential

#### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- Primary packaging
- Secondary packaging

**(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?**

Select from:

- Yes, disclosing

**(1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?**

Select from:

- Yes

**(1.22.19) Please explain**

*Almost all of our products rely on paper packaging at some point in the production and distribution process, whether it be through primary or secondary packaging.*

**Palm oil**

**(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced**

Select from:

- Sourced

**(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage**

Select all that apply

- Manufacturing

**(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced**

Select from:

- No, the total volume is confidential

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- Palm kernel oil derivatives
- Palm oil derivatives

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- Yes, disclosing

### (1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

- Yes

### (1.22.19) Please explain

*Palm plays an important role in our snacks business.*

### Cattle products

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Manufacturing

### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- No, the total volume is confidential

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- Beef  
 By-products (e.g. glycerin, gelatin)

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- Yes, disclosing

### (1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

- Yes

### (1.22.19) Please explain

*Some of our soups, simple meals, and broths include beef products.*

### Soy

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Manufacturing

### (1.22.3) Indicate if you have direct soy and/or embedded soy in your value chain

Select from:

- Mixture of embedded soy and direct soy

### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- No, the total volume is confidential

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- Soybean meal
- Soybean oil
- Soy derivatives
- Whole soybeans

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- Yes, disclosing

### (1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

- Yes

### (1.22.19) Please explain

*Soybean in the form of oils, protein, and more is used in both our snacks and meals & beverages businesses. Information provided for direct soy only*

**Cocoa**

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

Manufacturing

### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No, the total volume is confidential

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Chocolate

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

Yes, disclosing

### (1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

No

### (1.22.19) Please explain

*Cocoa, in the form of chocolate, plays a role in our snacks business. This commodity is not considered significant due to its lower contribution to revenue.  
[Fixed row]*

**(1.23) Which of the following agricultural commodities that your organization produces and/or sources are the most significant to your business by revenue?**

**Cotton**

**(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced**

*Select from:*

No

**Dairy & egg products**

**(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced**

*Select from:*

Sourced

**(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?**

*Select from:*

Yes

**Fish and seafood from aquaculture**

**(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced**

*Select from:*

Sourced

**(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?**

*Select from:*

No

#### (1.23.4) Please explain

*This commodity is not considered significant due to its lower contribution to revenue.*

#### **Fruit**

#### (1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

#### (1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

Yes

#### **Maize/corn**

#### (1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

#### (1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

Yes

#### **Nuts**

#### (1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

**(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?**

Select from:

No

**(1.23.4) Please explain**

*This commodity is not considered significant due to its lower contribution to revenue.*

**Other grain (e.g., barley, oats)**

**(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced**

Select from:

Sourced

**(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?**

Select from:

Yes

**Other oilseeds (e.g. rapeseed oil)**

**(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced**

Select from:

Sourced

**(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?**

Select from:

No

**(1.23.4) Please explain**

*This commodity is not considered significant due to its lower contribution to revenue.*

## **Poultry & hog**

### **(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced**

Select from:

Sourced

### **(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?**

Select from:

Yes

## **Rice**

### **(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced**

Select from:

Sourced

### **(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?**

Select from:

No

### **(1.23.4) Please explain**

*This commodity is not considered significant due to its lower contribution to revenue.*

## **Sugar**

### **(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced**

Select from:

Sourced

**(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?**

Select from:

Yes

## Tea

**(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced**

Select from:

Sourced

**(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?**

Select from:

No

## Tobacco

**(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced**

Select from:

No

## Vegetable

**(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced**

Select from:

Sourced

**(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?**

Select from:

Yes

## Wheat

### (1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

### (1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

Yes

## Other commodity

### (1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No

[Fixed row]

## (1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

### (1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

### (1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

Upstream value chain

### (1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

- Tier 2 suppliers

### (1.24.6) Smallholder inclusion in mapping

Select from:

- Smallholders relevant and included

### (1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

*As part of Campbell's Responsible Sourcing program, we are committed to full country of origin traceability of our priority raw materials, including chocolate, palm oil, and paper packaging, among others, by FY25. We achieved and have maintained greater than 94% traceability for these ingredients since F21. To complete this mapping, we perform outreach directly to suppliers at least once per year to verify origin. We understand smallholders may represent a portion of our cocoa supply. In addition, we choose to gain increased transparency into other commodities, including beef and soy.*

[Fixed row]

### (1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

	Plastics mapping	Value chain stages covered in mapping
	Select from:	Select all that apply

	Plastics mapping	Value chain stages covered in mapping
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upstream value chain

[Fixed row]

**(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)?**

**Timber products**

**(1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity**

Select from:

Tier 1 suppliers

**(1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped**

Select from:

100%

**(1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity**

Select from:

Tier 2 suppliers

## Palm oil

### (1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Yes

### (1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Tier 1 suppliers

### (1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped

Select from:

100%

### (1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Tier 2 suppliers

## Cattle products

### (1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Yes

### (1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Tier 1 suppliers

### (1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped

Select from:

100%

### (1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Tier 2 suppliers

**Soy**

### (1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Yes

### (1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Tier 1 suppliers

### (1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped

Select from:

100%

### (1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Tier 2 suppliers

**Cocoa**

### (1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Yes

### (1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Tier 1 suppliers

### (1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped

Select from:

100%

### (1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Tier 2 suppliers

[Fixed row]

## **C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities**

**(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?**

### **Short-term**

**(2.1.1) From (years)**

0

**(2.1.3) To (years)**

1

**(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning**

*Aligned with time horizon used to develop our annual operating plan*

### **Medium-term**

**(2.1.1) From (years)**

1

**(2.1.3) To (years)**

3

**(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning**

*Aligned with time horizon used to develop our strategic plan*

## Long-term

### (2.1.1) From (years)

3

### (2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

No

### (2.1.3) To (years)

5

### (2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

*Aligned with timeline used to perform scenario analysis and contingency plan*

*[Fixed row]*

## (2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

### (2.2.1) Process in place

Select from:

Yes

### (2.2.2) Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process

Select from:

Dependencies only

### (2.2.4) Primary reason for not evaluating dependencies and/or impacts

Select from:

No standardized procedure

## (2.2.5) Explain why you do not evaluate dependencies and/or impacts and describe any plans to do so in the future

*We understand the importance of identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts. We have performed analyses to determine priority raw materials through a social and environmental risk assessment conducted in partnership with The Sustainability Consortium. Our Responsible Sourcing and Sustainable Agriculture programs exemplify our commitment to mitigate risks to our business, impact the sustainability of our supply chain, and employ climate-smart production practices for certain priority raw materials. We have also completed climate risk assessments, which complement our annual Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process. We plan to expand our assessments to incorporate dependencies and/or impacts in the future.*

[Fixed row]

## (2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

### (2.2.1.1) Process in place

Select from:

Yes

### (2.2.1.2) Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process

Select from:

Risks only

### (2.2.1.3) Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?

Select from:

Yes

### (2.2.1.6) Explain why you do not have a process for evaluating both risks and opportunities that is informed by a dependencies and/or impacts process

*We have focused our efforts on identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks.*

[Fixed row]

**(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.**

**Row 1**

**(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

**(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

- Risks

**(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

**(2.2.2.4) Coverage**

*Select from:*

- Full

**(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Tier 1 suppliers

**(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment**

Select from:

- Qualitative only

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

### (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- National

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- Enterprise Risk Management

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*Campbell has a formal Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process. This process and its results are reviewed with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors annually. The process includes input from across the company, secured through surveying a broad set of Campbell employees and leaders, including representatives from government and regulatory affairs, sustainability, engineering, R&D and procurement. Key risk categories are analyzed, and the results are used to develop an enterprise key-risk portfolio. We identify the top 10 risks, develop response plans and monitor progress. Risk categories are revisited annually to determine the drivers and level of management required for each risk. Climate and ESG risk factors are fully integrated into the ERM process. Inputs from Campbell's stakeholder materiality, water, and climate risk assessments are also considered in the ERM process. The enterprise risk profile and related action plans for the most critical risks are reviewed with the Board of Directors annually, with periodic updates as needed.*

## Row 2

### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Water

### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Risks

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain

#### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Full

#### (2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

#### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

#### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Every two years

#### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

#### (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- A specific environmental risk management process

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

*Select all that apply*

Local

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

WRI Aqueduct

WWF Water Risk Filter

Other

External consultants

### (2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Chronic physical

Water availability at a basin/catchment level

Water stress

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

*Select all that apply*

Investors

Regulators

Suppliers

Other water users at the basin/catchment level

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

*Select from:*

No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Campbell completed a water inventory and basin-level risk assessment of its direct operations and certain elements of its supply chain using FY22 data. The assessment utilized the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wide Fund for Nature's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. The supply chain coverage aligns with Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) Standard guidance. We are in the process of refreshing our climate risk assessment, which will include water stress and drought, using FY24 data and plan to publish the results on our website.

### Row 3

#### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Risks

#### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain

#### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Partial

#### (2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Every two years

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

### (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- A specific environmental risk management process

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Local

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

International methodologies and standards

- IPCC Climate Change Projections
- Other international methodologies and standards, please specify :Newtork for Greening the Financial System (NGFS)

## Other

- External consultants
- Scenario analysis

## (2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

### Acute physical

- Drought
- Wildfires
- Heat waves
- Cold wave/frost
- Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

### Chronic physical

- Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)

### Policy

- Carbon pricing mechanisms
- Changes to national legislation
- Poor enforcement of environmental regulation

### Market

- Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials
- Changing customer behavior
- Uncertainty in the market signals

### Reputation

- Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
- Stigmatization of sector

### Technology

- Unsuccessful investment in new technologies

Liability

Exposure to litigation

#### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

Employees

Suppliers

#### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

No

#### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*In FY22 and in FY23, Campbell conducted climate risk screening and climate risk scenario analyses. The screening process engaged representatives from across the business, including finance, legal, operations, procurement, sales, marketing, and R&D. The screening assessed physical and transition risks and weighted those risks in respect to their potential impact and Campbell's capability to manage them. The climate risk scenario analyses considered multiple scenarios under three plausible future climate scenarios: SSP 1-2.6 (below 2°C), SSP 2-4.5 (2-4°C), SSP 5-8.5 (above 4°C) Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs). Analyses investigated the occurrence of drought, extreme precipitation events and additional indicators for grid reliability for 15 locations, including Campbell production facilities chosen for their significance to business and agricultural sourcing areas representative of our larger supply chains. We completed a carbon price sensitivity study in F23. Campbell has announced a science-based target to address emissions reductions. We are in the process of refreshing our climate risk assessment, which will include scenario analysis, using FY24 data and plan to publish the results on our website.*

#### Row 4

#### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

Forests

#### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

- Risks

### **(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Upstream value chain

### **(2.2.2.4) Coverage**

*Select from:*

- Partial

### **(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Tier 1 suppliers

### **(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment**

*Select from:*

- Qualitative only

### **(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment**

*Select from:*

- Every three years or more

### **(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Short-term
- Medium-term

### (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Local
- National

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- Trase

Enterprise Risk Management

- Enterprise Risk Management
- Internal company methods

International methodologies and standards

- Global Forest Watch
- Other international methodologies and standards, please specify :SEDEX

### (2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Chronic physical

- Scarcity of land resources

Market

- Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

## Reputation

- Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Investors
- Suppliers

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*Campbell maintains enterprise risk assessments and supplier risk assessments that include sustainability topics. We have conducted commodity risk mapping studies that include commodity driven deforestation. We also calculate emissions from land use change in our scope 3 inventory and have done further, specific study of deforestation risk.*

[Add row]

## (2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

### (2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

- No

### (2.2.7.3) Primary reason for not assessing interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

#### **(2.2.7.4) Explain why you do not assess the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities**

*Our current processes focus on identifying risks and opportunities. We plan to expand our current assessments to include dependencies and impacts in the future.*  
[Fixed row]

### **(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?**

#### **(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations**

Select from:

- Yes, we have identified priority locations

#### **(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified**

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

#### **(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified**

Sensitive locations

- Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

- Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

#### **(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations**

*We have performed physical climate risk assessments and water risk assessments related to our material manufacturing sites and material sourcing areas for our priority plant-based ingredients to determine locations with associated risks.*

**(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?**

Select from:

No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

**(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?**

	Identification and classification of potential water pollutants	Please explain
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not identify and classify our potential water pollutants	<i>We do not identify and classify water pollutants outside of standard sampling in accordance with wastewater permits</i>

[Fixed row]

### C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

**(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

#### Climate change

##### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

##### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Evaluation in progress

##### (3.1.3) Please explain

*We are in the process of refreshing our climate risk assessment using FY24 data. We plan to publish the methodology and results of our assessment on our website.*

#### Forests

##### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

##### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Evaluation in progress

### (3.1.3) Please explain

*We are in the process of refreshing our climate risk assessment using FY24 data, which will include an assessment of key ingredients. We plan to publish the methodology and results of our assessment on our website.*

## Water

### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

## Plastics

### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Evaluation in progress

### (3.1.3) Please explain

*We are in the process of refreshing our climate risk assessment using FY24 data, which will include transition risks due to changing regulations. We plan to publish the methodology and results of our assessment on our website.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.**

## Water

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk1

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

Water stress

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

Direct operations

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

United States of America

### (3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

Santee River

St. Lawrence

Pee Dee River

Columbia River

Great Salt Lake

Mississippi River

Susquehanna River

Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

Delaware River

Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

Other, please specify :**California**

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*Campbell completed a water inventory and basin-level risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using FY22 data. The assessment utilized the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wide Fund for Nature's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. We have identified potential risks at one or more facilities in these basins. Facilities in these basins represent significant water users in our operations. We are in the process of reperforming a climate risk assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

Short-term

Medium-term

Long-term

### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Likely

### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Low

### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*The effect has not been quantified financially*

### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

Establish site-specific targets

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*Each of our manufacturing facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025*

## Water

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk2

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

Water stress

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Upstream value chain

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- United States of America

### (3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Bravo
- Nelson River
- Columbia River
- Mississippi River
- Trinity River (Texas)
- Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)
- Sacramento River - San Joaquin River
- Other, please specify :**California**

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*Campbell completed a water inventory and basin-level risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using FY22 data. The assessment utilized the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wide Fund for Nature's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. The supply chain coverage aligns with Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) Standard guidance. We determined that approximately 50% of Campbell's priority raw materials that are sourced from areas at risk of current or future water stress, are sourced from eight basins. We are in the process of refreshing the analysis using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Disruption in upstream value chain

### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term

Medium-term

Long-term

### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Likely

### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Low

### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*The effect has not been quantified financially*

### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

Engage with suppliers

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*Within these basins, we have determined that there is water-related risk to some supplier locations. Disruptions to these suppliers could have the potential to create financial impact by forcing changes to our supply chain.*

*[Add row]*

**(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?**

**Row 1**

**(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin**

United States of America

Colorado River (Caribbean Sea)

**(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin**

*Select all that apply*

Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

1

**(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

*Select from:*

Less than 1%

**(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected**

*Select from:*

Unknown

**(3.2.11) Please explain**

*The risk assessment that was conducted using FY22 data included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage. We are in the process of refreshing the assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

## Row 2

### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Delaware River

### (3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

### (3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

### (3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

Less than 1%

### (3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

### (3.2.11) Please explain

*The risk assessment that was conducted using FY22 data included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage. We are in the process of refreshing the assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

## Row 3

### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Canada

St. Lawrence

### (3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

### (3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

5

### (3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

Less than 1%

### (3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

### (3.2.11) Please explain

*The risk assessment that was conducted using FY22 data included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage. We are in the process of refreshing the assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

### Row 4

### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

### (3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

### (3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

2

### (3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

Less than 1%

### (3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

### (3.2.11) Please explain

*The risk assessment that was conducted using FY22 data included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage. We are in the process of refreshing the assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

## Row 5

### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Great Salt Lake

**(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin**

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

1

**(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

Select from:

- Less than 1%

**(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected**

Select from:

- Unknown

**(3.2.11) Please explain**

*The risk assessment that was conducted using FY22 data included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage. We are in the process of refreshing the assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

**Row 6**

**(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin**

United States of America

- Pee Dee River

**(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin**

Select all that apply

Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

1

**(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

Select from:

Less than 1%

**(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected**

Select from:

Unknown

**(3.2.11) Please explain**

*The risk assessment that was conducted using FY22 data included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage. We are in the process of refreshing the assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

**Row 7**

**(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin**

United States of America

Columbia River

**(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin**

Select all that apply

Direct operations

### (3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

### (3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

Less than 1%

### (3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

### (3.2.11) Please explain

*The risk assessment that was conducted using FY22 data included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage. We are in the process of refreshing the assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

## Row 8

### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Santee River

### (3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

1

**(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

Select from:

Less than 1%

**(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected**

Select from:

Unknown

**(3.2.11) Please explain**

*The risk assessment that was conducted using FY22 data included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage. We are in the process of refreshing the assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

**Row 9**

**(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin**

United States of America

Susquehanna River

**(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin**

Select all that apply

Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

**(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

Select from:

Less than 1%

**(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected**

Select from:

Unknown

**(3.2.11) Please explain**

*The risk assessment that was conducted using FY22 data included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage. We are in the process of refreshing the assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

**Row 10****(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin**

Canada

Mississippi River

**(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin**

Select all that apply

Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

**(3.2.4) % of your organization’s total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

Select from:

- Less than 1%

**(3.2.10) % organization’s total global revenue that could be affected**

Select from:

- Unknown

**(3.2.11) Please explain**

*The risk assessment that was conducted using FY22 data included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage. We are in the process of refreshing the assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

**Row 11**

**(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin**

United States of America

- Other, please specify :California

**(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin**

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

1

**(3.2.4) % of your organization’s total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

Select from:

- Less than 1%

### (3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

- Unknown

### (3.2.11) Please explain

*The risk assessment that was conducted using FY22 data included manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc. Risks at any of these types of facilities have the potential to impact Campbell's business. The facilities identified represent only a small proportion of Campbell facilities, but capture over 90% of total water usage. We are in the process of refreshing the assessment using FY24 data and plan to publish the methodology and results on our website.*

[Add row]

## (3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

### (3.3.1) Water-related regulatory violations

Select from:

- Yes

### (3.3.2) Fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties

Select all that apply

- Fines, but none that are considered as significant
- Enforcement orders or other penalties but none that are considered as significant

### (3.3.3) Comment

For F24, Campbell's managed environmental compliance through the use of an electronic system, the Campbell's Environmental Management and Metrics System (CEMMS). The CEMMS system is used at all of our facilities and is the backbone of our overall compliance monitoring. The CEMMS system proactively addresses our document management, permit tracking, tasks and calendar management, incident and inspection notifications, and PSM/RMP documentation control. See page 12 our 2025 Corporate Responsibility Data Update for further details.

[Fixed row]

**(3.3.1) Provide the total number and financial value of all water-related fines.**

**(3.3.1.1) Total number of fines**

2

**(3.3.1.2) Total value of fines**

25456

**(3.3.1.3) % of total facilities/operations associated**

8.7

**(3.3.1.4) Number of fines compared to previous reporting year**

Select from:

About the same

**(3.3.1.5) Comment**

Fines not considered significant individually or in the aggregate

[Fixed row]

**(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?**

Select from:

Yes

**(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.**

*Select all that apply*

California CaT - ETS

**(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.**

**California CaT - ETS**

**(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS**

16

**(3.5.2.2) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS**

2

**(3.5.2.3) Period start date**

01/01/2023

**(3.5.2.4) Period end date**

12/31/2023

**(3.5.2.9) Details of ownership**

*Select from:*

Facilities we own and operate

**(3.5.2.10) Comment**

*The information on allowances allocated by the State of California and purchased allowances is considered confidential provided by the California Air Resources Board – section 95830(c)(1)(j) of the California Code of Regulations. This is part of the Corporate Association and Structure Form (Section 5.0)*  
[Fixed row]

#### **(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?**

*Campbell's takes a holistic approach to natural resource management in our operations. As part of our corporate-wide commitments, we are working to reduce energy usage and therefore greenhouse gas emissions across our portfolio. We have set a goal to reduce absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 42% by 2030 as compared to the 2020 baseline. We conduct energy conservation assessments at our largest manufacturing sites and pursue investments and process changes to achieve greater efficiency. Our legal team monitors regulations as they are adopted. In response to the California Cap and Trade program, we have local environmental managers at our California sites that are responsible for regulatory compliance.*

#### **(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

##### **Climate change**

#### **(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified**

Select from:

No

#### **(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities**

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

#### **(3.6.3) Please explain**

*We are focusing our assessment on environmental risks.*

##### **Forests**

#### **(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified**

Select from:

No

### (3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

### (3.6.3) Please explain

*We are focusing our assessment on environmental risks.*

## Water

### (3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

No

### (3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

### (3.6.3) Please explain

*We are focusing our assessment on environmental risks.*

*[Fixed row]*

## C4. Governance

### (4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

#### (4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

More frequently than quarterly

#### (4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Executive directors or equivalent

Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

#### (4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

No

[Fixed row]

### (4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

**(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board’s oversight of environmental issues.**

### Climate change

#### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Board-level committee

#### (4.1.2.2) Positions’ accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

### (4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Board committee charters

### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
- Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*The Governance Committee of our Board of Directors oversees sustainability activities. The Committee plays a role in the continued evolution of Campbell's sustainability strategy and public reporting. The Committee receives full scorecards for sustainability performance, including emissions. This past year, the Board was engaged on topics including our Science Based Target, stakeholder engagement on climate topics, overall sustainability strategy, and future plans for key elements of that strategy. Our CEO monitors climate-related issues primarily through our Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability team, which presents regularly to the Corporate Leadership Team and raises issues as they arise throughout the year. The CEO was actively involved in our commitment to an SBT and the setting of that target. In addition, the Board, including Campbell's CEO, reviews an annual Enterprise Risk Management report which includes climate-related risks and opportunities pertaining to business continuity and supply chain resiliency. The SVP, Chief Sustainability Officer formally presents climate-related issues to the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors at least twice per year. Generally, meetings include updates on progress against current commitments and discussion of plans and strategies. Examples of the types of issues brought to the Board include emissions reduction, climate change risks, and related topics, such as waste, sustainable agriculture, and water. Climate-related issues may also be presented to the Board and Audit Committee once per year by the Enterprise Risk Management team. The Chief Procurement Officer also presents climate-related risks to the Finance Committee annually.*

## Forests

#### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Board-level committee

#### (4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

#### (4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Committee charter

#### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*The Governance Committee of our Board of Directors oversees sustainability activities. The Committee plays a role in the continued evolution of Campbell's sustainability strategy and public reporting. In regular meetings the Committee reviews a full sustainability scorecard, which includes information on and performance*

metrics for sustainable sourcing, sustainable agriculture, animal welfare, climate, water, and other topics. The Board continues to be updated on Scope 3 Science Based Target progress, which includes elements related to forest-relevant commodities. In addition, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors, reviews an annual Enterprise Risk Management report each year which may include forests-related risks and opportunities pertaining to the business and supply chain resiliency. Our CEO monitors forests-related issues primarily through our Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability team, which presents to the Corporate Leadership Team multiple times per year, along with our Procurement team. The teams raise issues as they arise throughout the year. Our CEO continues to be updated on Scope 3 Science Based Target progress, which includes elements related to forest-relevant commodities. In addition, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors, including Campbell's CEO, reviews an annual Enterprise Risk Management report each year which may include forests-related risks and opportunities pertaining to the business and supply chain resiliency. The Finance Committee of the Board of Directors receives annual updates on procurement and supply chain related risks and opportunities from the Chief Procurement Officer. Risks related to deforestation commodities may be included in these presentations. The Governance Committee also receives updates at least twice annually on Campbell's sustainability strategy, which includes our management of forests-related issues.

## Water

### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Board-level committee

### (4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

### (4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Committee charter

### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
- Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*The Governance Committee of our Board of Directors oversees sustainability activities. The Committee plays a role in the continued evolution of Campbell's sustainability strategy and public reporting. The Committee receives full scorecards for sustainability performance, including water metrics. This past year, the Board was engaged on topics including, stakeholder engagement on water topics, overall sustainability strategy, and future plans for key elements of that strategy. Our CEO monitors water-related issues primarily through our Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability team, which presents regularly to the Corporate Leadership Team and raises issues as they arise throughout the year. The CEO is actively involved in our public water goals. In addition, the Board, including Campbell's CEO, reviews an annual Enterprise Risk Management report which includes sustainability -related risks and opportunities pertaining to business continuity and supply chain resilience. The SVP, Chief Sustainability Officer formally presents water-related issues to the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors at least twice per year. Examples of the types of issues brought to the Board include: the progress toward our operational water goal and conducting a value chain water risk assessment. Water-related issues may also be presented to the Board once per year by the Enterprise Risk Management team.*

## Biodiversity

#### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Board-level committee

#### (4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

#### (4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Committee charter

#### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
- Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*The Governance Committee of our Board of Directors oversees sustainability activities. The Committee plays a role in the continued evolution of Campbell's sustainability strategy and public reporting. The Committee receives full scorecards for sustainability performance, including our sustainable agriculture program. This past year, the Board was engaged on topics including our progress on enterprise sustainable agriculture goals, overall sustainability strategy, and future plans for key elements of that strategy. Our CEO monitors biodiversity issues primarily through our Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability team, which presents regularly to the Corporate Leadership Team and raises issues as they arise throughout the year. In addition, the Board, including Campbell's CEO, reviews an annual Enterprise Risk Management report which may include biodiversity-related risks pertaining to business continuity and supply chain resiliency.*

[Fixed row]

## (4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

### Climate change

#### (4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes

## (4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

*Select all that apply*

- Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)
- Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

## (4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Academic

- Postgraduate education (e.g., MSc/MA/PhD in environment and sustainability, climate science, environmental science, water resources management, forestry, etc.), please specify :Master's degree in sustainable leadership

Experience

- Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

## Forests

### (4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

*Select from:*

- Yes

### (4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

*Select all that apply*

- Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)
- Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

### (4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

#### Academic

Postgraduate education (e.g., MSc/MA/PhD in environment and sustainability, climate science, environmental science, water resources management, forestry, etc.), please specify :Master's degree in sustainable leadership

#### Experience

Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

## Water

### (4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

### (4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)

Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

### (4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

#### Academic

Postgraduate education (e.g., MSc/MA/PhD in environment and sustainability, climate science, environmental science, water resources management, forestry, etc.), please specify :Master's degree in sustainable leadership

#### Experience

Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

[Fixed row]

**(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?**

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

**(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

**Climate change**

**(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility**

Executive level

Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a climate transition plan
- Implementing a climate transition plan
- Conducting environmental scenario analysis
- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Chief Transformation Officer

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Half-yearly

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Campbell's Sr Vice President, Chief Sustainability Officer leads the Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability (CR&S) team, which supports the company's sustainability strategy and is directly accountable for its environmental strategy. Climate-related issues are included in Campbell's sustainability strategy. Through enterprise participation in major sustainability membership organizations and sustainability conferences and through the subject matter expertise on Campbell's CR&S team, the CSO is able to monitor emerging issues and provide thought leadership and guidance to the Corporate Leadership Team. The CSO regularly presents to the Operating Committee, comprised of cross-functional senior leadership, to discuss topics such as situation analysis, industry benchmarking, progress toward corporate goals, and issues related to climate. The CSO also presents formally to the Governance Committee at least twice a year.*

### Forests

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Procurement Officer (CPO)

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Engagement

- Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements
- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Head of Supply Chain

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Annually

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Campbell's Chief Procurement Officer provides annual updates to the Finance Committee of the Board of Directors. This update includes procurement and supply chain related risks.*

### Water

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Conducting environmental scenario analysis

- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Chief Transformation Officer

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Half-yearly

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Campbell's Sr Vice President, Chief Sustainability Officer stewards the sustainability strategy and governance structures that allow us to embed sustainability strategy into the organization. Water-related issues are included in Campbell's sustainability strategy, both from an operational perspective and from an agricultural and supply chain perspective. Through enterprise participation in major sustainability membership organizations and sustainability conferences and through the subject matter expertise on Campbell's CR&S team, the CSO is able to monitor emerging issues and provide thought leadership and guidance to the Corporate Leadership Team. The CSO provides updates to the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors regularly. This update includes company performance against GHG emissions, water and waste targets, among other important sustainability priorities.*

### Biodiversity

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

#### Engagement

- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

#### Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

#### Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

#### Select from:

- Other, please specify :Chief Transformation Officer

### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

#### Select from:

- Annually

### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Campbell's Sr Vice President, Chief Sustainability Officer stewards the sustainability strategy and governance structures that allow us to embed sustainability strategy into the organization. Biodiversity issues, including our sustainable agriculture program, are included in Campbell's sustainability strategy. Through enterprise participation in major sustainability membership organizations and sustainability conferences and through the subject matter expertise on Campbell's CR&S team, the*

CSO is able to monitor emerging issues and provide thought leadership and guidance to the Corporate Leadership Team. The CSO provides updates to the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors regularly. This update includes company performance against its sustainable agriculture targets.

## Climate change

### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- Sustainability committee

### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

- Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues
- Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Our Sustainability Steerco, comprised of executives including our SVP Chief Sustainability Officer, Chief Transformation Officer, Head of Supply Chain, EVP General Counsel, and leaders in our IR, Communications, and R&D departments, meets to discuss sustainability strategy. Topics of discussion include but are not limited to procurement and supply chain sustainability; food innovation and packaging sustainability objectives; climate risks and opportunities; and ESG/sustainability reporting.*

### Water

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- Sustainability committee

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Strategy and financial planning

- Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues
- Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Our Sustainability Steerco, comprised of executives including our SVP Chief Sustainability Officer, Chief Transformation Officer, Head of Supply Chain, EVP General Counsel, and leaders in our IR, Communications, and R&D departments, meets to discuss sustainability strategy. Topics of discussion include but are not limited to procurement and supply chain sustainability; food innovation and packaging sustainability objectives; climate risks and opportunities; and ESG/sustainability reporting.*

#### Forests

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- Sustainability committee

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

- Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

As important matters arise

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Our Sustainability Steerco, comprised of executives including our SVP Chief Sustainability Officer, Chief Transformation Officer, Head of Supply Chain, EVP General Counsel, and leaders in our IR, Communications, and R&D departments, meets to discuss sustainability strategy. Topics of discussion include but are not limited to procurement and supply chain sustainability; food innovation and packaging sustainability objectives; climate risks and opportunities; and ESG/sustainability reporting.*

[Add row]

### (4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

#### Climate change

#### (4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.5.3) Please explain

*Beginning in F23, the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors decided to use composite market share and progress against certain ESG goals as qualitative performance qualifiers, given the importance of ESG in the marketplace. The modifier is evaluated in the total company performance score for the enterprise annual incentive compensation plan. For more information, refer to our 2024 Proxy Statement.*

#### Forests

#### (4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

#### Water

#### (4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.5.3) Please explain

*Beginning in F23, the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors decided to use composite market share and progress against certain ESG goals as qualitative performance qualifiers, given the importance of ESG in the marketplace. The modifier is evaluated in the total company performance score for the enterprise annual incentive compensation plan. For more information, refer to our 2024 Proxy Statement.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

#### Climate change

##### (4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

Other senior-mid manager, please specify :All employees that qualify for annual incentive program

##### (4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

Bonus - % of salary

##### (4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

Progress towards environmental targets

Emission reduction

- Reduction in emissions intensity

#### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

#### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

*Emissions intensity target included on enterprise sustainability scorecard*

#### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

*Achievement of sustainability scorecard is linked to enterprise annual incentive program*

### Water

#### (4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

- Other senior-mid manager, please specify :All employees that qualify for annual incentive program

#### (4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

#### (4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets

Resource use and efficiency

- Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations

#### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

#### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

*Water reduction target included on enterprise sustainability scorecard*

#### (4.5.1.6) How the position’s incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

*Achievement of sustainability scorecard is linked to enterprise annual incentive program*

[Add row]

#### (4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	<b>Does your organization have any environmental policies?</b>
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

#### (4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

## Row 1

### (4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

### (4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

*Select from:*

- Organization-wide

### (4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations

### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

*Direct operations*

### (4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues
- Other environmental commitment, please specify :Commitment to research and development, production operations and business facilities, packaging, and distribution and logistics.

Climate-specific commitments

- Other climate-related commitment, please specify :Commitment to science-based target

Additional references/Descriptions

- Description of environmental requirements for procurement

#### (4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

*Select all that apply*

- Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

#### (4.6.1.7) Public availability

*Select from:*

- Publicly available

#### (4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

*Campbell-Environmental-Sustainability-Policy-Published-7.28.20-Updated-3.23.22.pdf*

### Row 2

#### (4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

#### (4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

*Select from:*

- Organization-wide

#### (4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- Upstream value chain

#### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

*Supply chain*

#### (4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues
- Other environmental commitment, please specify :animal welfare, agriculture practices, water, waste and biodiversity

Social commitments

- Adoption of the UN International Labour Organization principles
- Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights
- Other social commitment, please specify :health and safety

Additional references/Descriptions

- Description of environmental requirements for procurement
- Description of grievance/whistleblower mechanism to monitor non-compliance with the environmental policy and raise/address/escalate any other greenwashing concerns

#### (4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

*Select all that apply*

- Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, the Core Conventions of the International Labor Organization (ILO), and the 10 Principles of the United Nations Global Compact

#### (4.6.1.7) Public availability

*Select from:*

- Publicly available

#### (4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

### Row 3

#### (4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Water

#### (4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### (4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

#### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

*Direct operations*

#### (4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

Water-specific commitments

- Commitment to water stewardship and/or collective action
- Other water-related commitment, please specify :Commitment to water risk assessments, set water stewardship goals, and reporting on progress annually

Additional references/Descriptions

- Acknowledgement of the human right to water and sanitation
- Description of environmental requirements for procurement

**(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select all that apply*

- Yes, in line with Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

**(4.6.1.7) Public availability**

*Select from:*

- Publicly available

**(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy**

*Updated-2024-The-Campbells-Company-Water-Policy.pdf*

**Row 4**

**(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Forests

**(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage**

*Select from:*

- Selected commodities only

**(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Upstream value chain

#### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

*Campbell's Sustainable Palm Oil Sourcing Guidelines are designed to further engage and partner with key suppliers and the industry to focus on preventing deforestation, protection of peat lands, and engagement of economic and social impact for people and communities.*

#### (4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

##### Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

##### Forests-specific commitments

- Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat
- Commitment to no deforestation, to no planting on peatlands, and to no exploitation (NDPE) by target date, please specify
- Commitment to no-conversion of natural ecosystems by target date, please specify

##### Social commitments

- Commitment to secure Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) of indigenous people and local communities

##### Additional references/Descriptions

- Description of commodities covered by the policy
- Description of dependencies on natural resources and ecosystems
- Description of environmental requirements for procurement

#### (4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

##### *Select all that apply*

- Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :Universal Declaration of Human Rights and Roundtable for Sustainable Palm Oil ("RSPO")

#### (4.6.1.7) Public availability

##### *Select from:*

Publicly available

#### (4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

*Sustainable-Palm-Oil-Guidelines-2024.pdf*

[Add row]

#### (4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

##### (4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

Yes

##### (4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO)

Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)

Other, please specify :Potato Sustainability Alliance

##### (4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

*We maintain enterprise participation in major sustainability membership organizations and sustainability conferences in accordance with our commitment to taking steps to align our business with our sustainability strategy. Since 2021, we have sourced 100% RSPO-certified palm oil, certified by the Roundtable on Sustainability Palm Oil. In 2022, we set science-based targets (SBT) for Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions approved by the SBTi. Our potato growers are located in over a dozen states and actively use regenerative practices in their operations, including cover crops, organic amendments, and crop- rotations. Through data collection and participation in the Potato Sustainability Alliance and other partnerships, we are enhancing insights into our supply chain while actively helping to measure and advance sustainability in the North American potato industry.*

[Fixed row]

#### (4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

**(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

*Select all that apply*

- Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers
- Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

**(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

- No, and we do not plan to have one in the next two years

**(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register**

*Select from:*

- Yes

**(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on**

*Select all that apply*

- Mandatory government register

**(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization**

*CT: Registration Number 57751 NJ: Registration Number 2485156253*

**(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan**

Oversight of sustainability activities is managed by the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors and is reflected in the Committee's Charter. The Committee takes an active role in the continued evolution of Campbell's sustainability strategy and public reporting. All new public climate-related commitments, like our Science-Based Target, must be reviewed by the Campbell's Operating Committee before moving to the Governance Committee for review. Subsequently, a cross functional team, including members of Government Affairs, Sustainability, Legal, and Communications, reviews external activities to ensure alignment with our public commitments. Our Government Affairs team also reviews and updates annually our Political Accountability Guidelines available on our website to ensure engagement is in line with our policies.

[Fixed row]

#### **(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?**

##### **Row 1**

##### **(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Addressing packaging and food waste*

##### **(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to**

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

##### **(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

Low-impact production and innovation

Circular economy

Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR)

Recycling and recyclability

Sustainable production and consumption

##### **(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation**

*Select from:*

- Sub-national

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- United States of America

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

- Regular meetings

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*We strive to utilize more sustainable packaging that maintains the integrity of our product while also protecting the environment. A uniform framework regarding compostable, recyclable, and reusable packaging will help reduce consumer confusion, minimize consumer food waste, enhance consumer confidence in the environmental benefits of their purchases, and minimize the complexity of a patchwork of state regulations. This framework will also support us in achieving our science-based targets by promoting the use of environmentally friendly materials, reducing food waste, and minimizing our carbon footprint throughout the packaging lifecycle.*

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- No, we have not evaluated

[Add row]

**(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.**

**Row 1**

**(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement**

*Select from:*

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

**(4.11.2.4) Trade association**

North America

- Other trade association in North America, please specify :AMERIPEN Consumer Brands Association

**(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position**

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

**(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with**

*Select from:*

- Consistent

**(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

*Select from:*

- Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

**(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization’s position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual’s position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*Ameripen is a highly regarded leader in packaging policy, committed to advocating for evidence-based strategies to advance sustainable packaging. We are aligned with Ameripen’s mission and support their advocacy initiatives at both federal and state levels to promote environmentally responsible packaging solutions. Additionally, Consumer Brands Association (CBA) champions the consumer goods industry. We are aligned with their mission of creating a sustainable future, through increasing recyclability and reimagining the recycling system.*

**(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization’s engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

No, we have not evaluated

[Add row]

**(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?**

Select from:

Yes

**(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.**

**Row 1**

**(4.12.1.1) Publication**

Select from:

In voluntary sustainability reports

**(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication**

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Biodiversity

#### (4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

#### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- Strategy
- Governance
- Emission targets
- Emissions figures
- Risks & Opportunities
- Value chain engagement
- Public policy engagement
- Water accounting figures

#### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

All

#### (4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

*The-Campbells-Company-2025-Corporate-Responsibility-Data-Update-update.pdf*

#### (4.12.1.8) Comment

*We issue a full Corporate Responsibility report biennially, with updates annually.*

**Row 2**

#### (4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In mainstream reports

#### (4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

#### (4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

#### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- Governance
- Strategy

#### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

30

#### (4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2024 Proxy Statement.pdf

#### (4.12.1.8) Comment

We report our ESG governance, ESG priorities, and fiscal year activities and progress within our proxy.

[Add row]

## C5. Business strategy

### (5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

#### Climate change

##### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

##### (5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

Not defined

#### Forests

##### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

No, but we plan to within the next two years

##### (5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

Other, please specify :Analysis in progress

##### (5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

*We have identified eight priority raw materials through a social and environmental risk assessment conducted in partnership with The Sustainability Consortium. At a global level, issues related to these materials may include biodiversity loss, deforestation, water quality and supply, and forced labor. We intend to use the results of similar analyses, and other inputs, to inform strategy going forward.*

## Water

### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

### (5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

Not defined

[Fixed row]

**(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.**

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

RCP 8.5

### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

SSP5

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Acute physical
- Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 4.0°C and above

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2015

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

- Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

Level of action (from local to global)

Direct interaction with climate

Other direct interaction with climate driving forces, please specify :GRID mix

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*In FY22 and FY23, Campbell conducted climate risk and opportunity screening, and climate risk scenario analyses. The screening process engaged representatives from across the business, including finance, legal, operations, procurement, sales, marketing, and R&D. The screening assessed physical and transition risks and weighted those risks in respect to their potential impact and Campbell's capability to manage them. Scenario analyses investigated the occurrence of drought, extreme precipitation events and additional indicators for grid reliability for 15 locations, including Campbell production facilities chosen for their significance to business and agricultural sourcing areas representative of our larger supply chains. The baseline reference year is the average level of occurrences of 1996-2015. We are in the process of performing a climate risk assessment, including scenario analysis using F24 data, and plan to publish our methodology and summarized results on our website.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*The climate risk scenario analyses considered multiple scenarios under three plausible future climate scenarios: SSP 1-2.6 (below 2°C), SSP 2-4.5 (2-4°C), SSP 5-8.5 (above 4°C) Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs).*

### Water

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

WRI Aqueduct

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Acute physical
- Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2040

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Changes to the state of nature
- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*For FY2022, Campbell completed a water inventory and risk assessment of its direct operations (including manufacturing locations, warehouses, distribution centers, offices, etc.) and supply chain using the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wildlife Fund's Water Risk Filter (WRF). The risk assessment scope included 100% of our direct operations, as well as supplier sites for which we had location data. The supply chain coverage aligns with Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) Standard guidance. We are in the process of refreshing the scenario analysis using F24 data and plan to publish our methodology and summary results on our website.*

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*Campbell used the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wildlife Fund's Water Risk Filter (WRF) given they are reputable, third-party methods.*

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

RCP 4.5

#### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

SSP2

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

Acute physical

Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 2.0°C - 2.4°C

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2015

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

- Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- Level of action (from local to global)

Direct interaction with climate

- Other direct interaction with climate driving forces, please specify :GRID mix

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*In FY22 and FY23, Campbell conducted climate risk and opportunity screening, and climate risk scenario analyses. The screening process engaged representatives from across the business, including finance, legal, operations, procurement, sales, marketing, and R&D. The screening assessed physical and transition risks and weighted those risks in respect to their potential impact and Campbell's capability to manage them. Scenario analyses investigated the occurrence of drought, extreme precipitation events and additional indicators for grid reliability for 15 locations, including Campbell production facilities chosen for their significance to*

business and agricultural sourcing areas representative of our larger supply chains. The baseline reference year is the average level of occurrences of 1996-2015. We are in the process of performing a climate risk assessment, including scenario analysis using F24 data, and plan to publish our methodology and summarized results on our website.

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

The climate risk scenario analyses considered multiple scenarios under three plausible future climate scenarios: SSP 1-2.6 (below 2°C), SSP 2-4.5 (2-4°C), SSP 5-8.5 (above 4°C) Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs).

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

RCP 2.6

#### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

SSP1

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Acute physical
- Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.6°C - 1.9°C

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2015

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

- Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- Level of action (from local to global)

Direct interaction with climate

- Other direct interaction with climate driving forces, please specify :GRID mix

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*In FY22 and FY23, Campbell conducted climate risk and opportunity screening, and climate risk scenario analyses. The screening process engaged representatives from across the business, including finance, legal, operations, procurement, sales, marketing, and R&D. The screening assessed physical and transition risks and weighted those risks in respect to their potential impact and Campbell's capability to manage them. Scenario analyses investigated the occurrence of drought, extreme precipitation events and additional indicators for grid reliability for 15 locations, including Campbell production facilities chosen for their significance to business and agricultural sourcing areas representative of our larger supply chains. The baseline reference year is the average level of occurrences of 1996-2015. We are in the process of performing a climate risk assessment, including scenario analysis using F24 data, and plan to publish our methodology and summarized results on our website.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*The climate risk scenario analyses considered multiple scenarios under three plausible future climate scenarios: SSP 1-2.6 (below 2°C), SSP 2-4.5 (2-4°C), SSP 5-8.5 (above 4°C) Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs).*

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

NGFS scenarios framework, please specify :Divergent net zero

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Policy

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.5°C or lower

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- Global regulation
- Global targets

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*In FY23, Campbell conducted a carbon price sensitivity study to understand risks related to carbon pricing. We are in the process of reperforming a scenario analysis using F24 data and plan to publish our methodology and summary results on our website.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*The Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS) scenarios explore the impacts of climate change and policies. We applied all six scenarios in our study.*

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

NGFS scenarios framework, please specify :Delayed transition to Net Zero

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Quantitative

### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

Policy

### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

1.6°C - 1.9°C

### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

2030

2050

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

Global regulation

Global targets

### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*In FY23, Campbell conducted a carbon price sensitivity study to understand risks related to carbon pricing. We are in the process of reperforming a scenario analysis using F24 data and plan to publish our methodology and summary results on our website.*

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*The Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS) scenarios explore the impacts of climate change and policies. We applied all six scenarios in our study.*

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

NGFS scenarios framework, please specify :Net zero 2050

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Policy

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.5°C or lower

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- Global regulation
- Global targets

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*In FY23, Campbell conducted a carbon price sensitivity study to understand risks related to carbon pricing. We are in the process of reperforming a scenario analysis using F24 data and plan to publish our methodology and summary results on our website.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*The Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS) scenarios explore the impacts of climate change and policies. We applied all six scenarios in our study.*

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

- NGFS scenarios framework, please specify :Below 2 degrees C

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- Quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Policy

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.6°C - 1.9°C

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

*Select all that apply*

- 2030
- 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- Global regulation
- Global targets

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*In FY23, Campbell conducted a carbon price sensitivity study to understand risks related to carbon pricing. We are in the process of reperforming a scenario analysis using F24 data and plan to publish our methodology and summary results on our website.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*The Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS) scenarios explore the impacts of climate change and policies. We applied all six scenarios in our study.*

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

NGFS scenarios framework, please specify :Nationally determined contributions

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Quantitative

### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

Policy

### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

2.5°C - 2.9°C

### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

2030

2050

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

Global regulation

Global targets

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*In FY23, Campbell conducted a carbon price sensitivity study to understand risks related to carbon pricing. We are in the process of reperforming a scenario analysis using F24 data and plan to publish our methodology and summary results on our website.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*The Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS) scenarios explore the impacts of climate change and policies. We applied all six scenarios in our study.*

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

NGFS scenarios framework, please specify :Current Policies

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

Policy

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

3.0°C - 3.4°C

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

2030

2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

Global regulation

Global targets

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*In FY23, Campbell conducted a carbon price sensitivity study to understand risks related to carbon pricing. We are in the process of reperforming a scenario analysis using F24 data and plan to publish our methodology and summary results on our website.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*The Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS) scenarios explore the impacts of climate change and policies. We applied all six scenarios in our study.*  
[Add row]

#### (5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

##### Climate change

#### (5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

*Select all that apply*

Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

#### (5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

*Select from:*

Organization-wide

#### (5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

*In our assessment using FY22 data, we have identified both physical and transition risks related to climate change. We are in the process of reperforming a climate risk assessment and plan to publish our methodology and summary results on our website.*

##### Water

#### (5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

*Select all that apply*

Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

#### (5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

*Select from:*

Organization-wide

### (5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

*As a result of our assessment using FY22 data, we identified twenty-one Campbell facilities, representing over 90% of water consumed by our direct operations, were identified as having basin-level water risk. According to the risk modelling tools used in our analysis, these direct operations were identified as experiencing extremely high or high: current basin water risk; current or future water stress levels; interannual variability, seasonal variability, drought, or flood risk; and/or water quality risk. Each of our facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025. Additionally, we determined that approximately 50% of Campbell's priority raw materials are sourced from eight basins that are at risk of current or future water stress. We engage certain suppliers on a regular cadence on several topics, including water usage and water reduction. Climate change, water stress, extreme weather, and natural disasters pose risks to the stability of our supply chain. We prepare for these risks by assessing our priority raw materials, identifying key threats to each raw material, and developing contingency plans to preempt or respond to a disruption. In fiscal 2021, fiscal 2022, and fiscal 2023, we completed the following actions to manage these risks: • Integrated more robust environmental and social risk factors into supply risk assessment processes • Developed training for buyers and suppliers on environmental and social risks • Developed a science-based emissions target and initial emission-reduction roadmaps • Completed an updated water risk assessment We are in the process of refreshing our assessment and plan to publish our methodology and summary results on our website.*

[Fixed row]

## (5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

### (5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

### (5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

No

### (5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

We have a different feedback mechanism in place

### (5.2.8) Description of feedback mechanism

*Our climate transition plan has been shared internally with our Sustainability Steerco, comprised of executives including our SVP Chief Sustainability Officer, EVP Chief Transformation Officer, Head of Supply Chain, EVP General Counsel, and leaders in our R&D department, who review and provide feedback. Periodically, we have direct engagement with shareholders, which includes climate topics.*

### (5.2.9) Frequency of feedback collection

Select from:

Annually

### (5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

*In 2022, we set Science-based targets for Scopes 1, 2 and 3 GHG emissions. Annually, we report our progress against those goals in our Corporate Responsibility Report. Our Climate Action Plan, summarizing key levers needed to achieve our science-based target, has evolved over time as both internal and external conditions change.*

### (5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

Forests

Plastics

Biodiversity

### (5.2.14) Explain how the other environmental issues are considered in your climate transition plan

*Our climate action plan covers emissions associated with Scope 1 and 2 emissions as well as Scope 3 Category 1 (Purchase goods and services) and Category 4 (Downstream transportation and distribution). Therefore, assessment of ingredient and packaging sourcing are included in the plan*  
[Fixed row]

## (5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

### (5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

- Yes, both strategy and financial planning

### (5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

- Products and services
- Upstream/downstream value chain
- Investment in R&D
- Operations

[Fixed row]

### (5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

#### Products and services

##### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

##### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*Risks and opportunities related to the growing demand from consumers and other stakeholders for sustainable products have influenced our strategy and contributed to Campbell developing goals to improve the sustainability of our products through our packaging. By 2030, we plan to transition 100% of packaging to recyclable or industrially compostable designs and materials. This transition will allow our consumers, as well as Campbell, to reduce environmental impacts over a long-term time horizon.*

#### Upstream/downstream value chain

##### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*Climate change poses a risk to Campbell's agricultural supply chain. To mitigate this risk and engage with our suppliers in meaningful strategies to reduce environmental impacts and improve the sustainability of the ingredients we procure, Campbell has a dedicated Sustainable Agriculture Program that focuses on key ingredients in our portfolio. By 2025, we worked to source 50% (by volume) of each of our plant-based priority ingredients from suppliers engaged in an approved sustainable agriculture program. By strategically engaging with suppliers to support them in the implementation of more sustainable growing practices, like cover crops and drip irrigation, our growers should improve soil health and resiliency over a medium- to long-term time horizon. Our Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) work is integrated into the corporate strategy at Campbell. One of the strategy pillars is "Lasting Impact" which includes delivering on our ESG commitments. Responsible sourcing is a key focus area within this ESG strategy. As part of this agenda, we published commitments to responsibly source 8 priority raw materials by FY25, which include cocoa, palm oil and paper packaging. In addition, we committed to full country of origin traceability of these ingredients by FY25. In 2022, Campbell's announced science-based targets. We commit to reduce emissions from purchased goods and services, which include ingredients such as wheat, tomatoes, proteins and chocolate, as well as from packaging through increased recycled content. To meet the goals described above, we have worked cross-functionally to build out strategic plans. We have engaged suppliers to understand what the opportunities and challenges are and have begun engaging with them on potential projects and solutions.*

## Investment in R&D

### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

*Select all that apply*

- Risks
- Opportunities

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*We assess risks and opportunities related to how we design our products. For example, we have piloted a tool to quantify environmental impacts of our top ingredients and continue to work on optimal ways to assess environmental and social impacts of our products. We also have a variety of workstreams related to sustainable packaging.*

## Operations

### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*We have identified renewable energy and energy efficiency as opportunities to help achieve our GHG emissions reduction goal. We have completed several onsite renewable projects and have more planned. At the end of CY2022, we finalized a larger offsite renewable contract to reduce our Scope 2 emissions. We continue to assess and strive for energy efficiency at our operations. All Campbell manufacturing facilities have energy efficiency goals. We expect these strategies to contribute to our emissions reductions and the achievement of our science-based target.*

[Add row]

### (5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

#### Row 1

#### (5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- Direct costs

#### (5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks

#### (5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

*We budget for climate-related projects and research as part of the annual operating plan. The company allocates funds for the study and implementation of energy efficiency investments, water efficiency, sustainable agriculture, sustainable packaging and new technologies.*

[Add row]

**(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?**

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

**(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?**

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities	Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to in the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority	We have investigated internal prices but are still monitoring development of standards and price levels in the marketplace.

[Fixed row]

**(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?**

**Suppliers**

**(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues**

Select from:

Yes

### (5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Plastics

## Smallholders

### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

*Select from:*

- No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

### (5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

*Select from:*

- Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

### (5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

*Campbell may engage with smallholders in relation to our cocoa supply. This is not a significant commodity in terms of revenue*

## Customers

### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

*Select from:*

- Yes

### (5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

## Investors and shareholders

### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- Yes

### (5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water

## Other value chain stakeholders

### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- Yes

### (5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change

[Fixed row]

**(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?**

	Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment
Climate change	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, and have no plans to do so within two years
Forests	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, and have no plans to do so within two years
Water	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, and have no plans to do so within two years
Plastics	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, and have no plans to do so within two years

[Fixed row]

## (5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

### Climate change

#### (5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

*Select from:*

Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

#### (5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

- Business risk mitigation
- Material sourcing
- Procurement spend
- Strategic status of suppliers

#### (5.11.2.4) Please explain

*Campbell's has communicated the company's climate commitments, discussed data availability, and worked to identify emission reduction opportunities with suppliers that provide inputs that are material to our Scope 3 emissions. Addressing deforestation is a key part of Campbell's climate strategy. Therefore, 100% of suppliers that source commodities that Campbell's has deemed high risk of being tied to deforestation have been engaged on the topic. We also engage directly with our farmers to help promote better agricultural practices that reduce emissions and to serve as a long-term business partner to farmers.*

### Forests

#### (5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

#### (5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Business risk mitigation
- Material sourcing
- Procurement spend
- Vulnerability of suppliers

#### (5.11.2.4) Please explain

*Through our Responsible Sourcing program, the Responsible Sourcing team evaluates relevant data from the World Bank, U.S. Department of Labor, and other sources, to assess and manage social and environmental risks inherent in these materials. Suppliers considered high risk may be asked to complete or share results of a social and environmental compliance audit consistent with Sedex Members Ethical Trade Audit (SMETA). In addition to the above, Campbell's has conducted a materiality assessment to determine commodities within our supply chain that are most at risk. Key indicators used to identify material commodities and suppliers included forest loss data, literature reviews, purchase volumes, & strategic importance. 100% of suppliers that source commodities that Campbell's has deemed high risk of being tied to deforestation have been engaged on the topic.*

## Water

### (5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

### (5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Business risk mitigation
- Material sourcing

### (5.11.2.4) Please explain

*Campbell's engages directly with tomato and potato suppliers on sustainable ag, including water efficiency. Over the past decade, we have supported growers to employ sustainable practices that have helped to reduce fertilizer, water use, and emissions.*

## Plastics

### (5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

### (5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Business risk mitigation
- Material sourcing
- Product lifecycle
- Regulatory compliance

### (5.11.2.4) Please explain

Campbell's engages with suppliers on a variety of sustainable packaging issues.

[Fixed row]

### **(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?**

#### **Climate change**

##### **(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process**

Select from:

Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

##### **(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance**

Select from:

Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

##### **(5.11.5.3) Comment**

*We engage 100% of our priority raw materials suppliers with our Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code, which includes elements related to climate. Our priority raw materials were identified in 2020 following a comprehensive social and environmental risk assessment of 30 raw materials conducted in partnership with The Sustainability Consortium. They focus on strategic areas where we believe we can have the most impact by managing environmental and social risk responsibly. We hold our suppliers accountable to our Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code (RSSC). Developed in collaboration by Procurement, Legal, and Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability teams, the RSSC outlines our expectations for our suppliers to operate ethically, safely, and sustainably. Our Responsible Sourcing team, which sits in Procurement, ensures that suppliers of our priority raw materials are provided our RSSC. Further, Campbell's standard purchase order includes terms requiring the Supplier to warrant it will comply with Campbell's supplier requirements, which include the RSSC.*

#### **Forests**

##### **(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process**

Select from:

Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

#### (5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

#### (5.11.5.3) Comment

*We engage 100% of our priority raw materials suppliers with our Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code, which includes elements related to forests. Our priority raw materials were identified in 2020 following a comprehensive social and environmental risk assessment of 30 raw materials conducted in partnership with The Sustainability Consortium. They focus on strategic areas where we believe we can have the most impact by managing environmental and social risk responsibly. We hold our suppliers accountable to our Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code (RSSC). Developed in collaboration by Procurement, Legal, and Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability teams, the RSSC outlines our expectations for our suppliers to operate ethically, safely, and sustainably. Our Responsible Sourcing team, which sits in Procurement, ensures that suppliers of our priority raw materials are provided our RSSC. Further, Campbell's standard purchase order includes terms requiring the Supplier to warrant it will comply with Campbell's supplier requirements, which include the RSSC.*

## Water

#### (5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years

#### (5.11.5.3) Comment

*We are prioritizing water in our direct operations and are beginning to work on watershed-level efforts.  
[Fixed row]*

**(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.**

## Climate change

### (5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Sign and comply with Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code

### (5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline

### (5.11.6.12) Comment

*We have identified 8 priority raw materials through a comprehensive social and environmental risk assessment conducted in partnership with The Sustainability Consortium. At a global level, issues related to these materials may include biodiversity loss, deforestation, water quality and supply, and forced labor. Our Responsible Sourcing team ensures that suppliers of these materials acknowledge our Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code and provide country of origin information. Fiscal 2024 represented the fourth consecutive year in which 100% of priority raw materials were traceable to their country-of-origin. Suppliers may be asked to complete or produce results of a social or environmental compliance self-assessment or audit consistent with the Sedex framework when materials & sourcing origin are considered high-risk*

## Forests

### (5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Compliance with an environmental certification, please specify :RSPO

### (5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Certification

### (5.11.6.12) Comment

*We have had a program dedicated to sourcing palm oil that is certified by the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO). Since 2021, we have sourced 100% RSPO-certified palm oil.*

## Forests

### (5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Sign and comply with Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code

### (5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline

### (5.11.6.12) Comment

*We have identified 8 priority raw materials through a comprehensive social and environmental risk assessment conducted in partnership with The Sustainability Consortium. At a global level, issues related to these materials may include biodiversity loss, deforestation, water quality and supply, and forced labor. Our Responsible Sourcing team ensures that suppliers of these materials acknowledge our Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code and provide country of origin information. Fiscal 2024 represented the fourth consecutive year in which 100% of priority raw materials were traceable to their country-of-origin. Suppliers may be asked to complete or produce results of a social or environmental compliance self-assessment or audit consistent with the Sedex framework when materials & sourcing origin are considered high-risk*

*[Add row]*

### (5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

## Climate change

### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Emissions reduction

### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact

Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

#### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

#### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*We have initiated deeper engagement with suppliers of 96% of our tomato volume, 68% of our wheat volume, and 99% of our fresh potato volume through our sustainable agriculture programs. Tomato, potato, and wheat were selected for this deeper engagement based on their especially large volumes and partnership opportunities to drive improvement. In these programs, Campbell invests in partnerships (e.g., Potato Sustainability Alliance) to conduct annual data collection, the sharing of individual sustainability reports with growers for self-assessment and benchmarking, and connecting growers with funding for the adoption of advanced technology (e.g., drip irrigation) and stewardship practices (e.g., cover crops). For our deeper engagement in tomato, wheat, and potatoes, we track environmental outcomes and have observed growers' adoption of practices.*

#### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

#### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- No, because our tier 1 suppliers are producers, and have no suppliers of commodities

### Forests

#### (5.11.7.1) Commodity

Select from:

- Palm oil

#### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- No deforestation and/or conversion of other natural ecosystems

#### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

- Collect environmental risk and opportunity information at least annually from suppliers

#### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

#### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*Addressing deforestation is part of our work to identify and achieve Scope 3 emission reductions. Campbell is engaging actively with suppliers on this topic and exploring opportunities that include supplier partnerships, product and service choices, verifications, and satellite monitoring.*

#### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :RSPO

#### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- Yes

**Water**

### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Total water withdrawal volumes reduction

### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact

Information collection

- Collect water quantity information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., withdrawal and discharge volumes)

Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*We provide reports to our growers of wheat, tomato and potato, benchmarking individual performance on sustainability metrics such as water use to the broader group to drive improvement. We have engaged our tomato growers heavily on transitioning to drip irrigation. As of F24, 89% of acres farmed for Campbell tomatoes used drip irrigation, up from 85% in the prior fiscal year. We also connect growers to the latest research and funding opportunities. Additionally, in F22 we launched a new Campbell sustainable practices adoption fund, which provides grants to our farmers to conduct soil health testing and helps to offset the costs of trialing new sustainable practices, such as soil moisture monitoring. We engage our tomato, potato, and a number of the wheat growers in our wheat flour supply sheds on innovation and collaboration to drive water stewardship.*

### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- No, because our tier 1 suppliers are producers, and have no suppliers of commodities

## Plastics

### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Sustainable packaging

### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*We actively engage with packaging suppliers to continuously improve our sustainability efforts.*

### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- Yes

## Climate change

### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Substitution of hazardous substances with less harmful substances

### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact

Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*Responsible pest management aims to control pests while minimizing pesticide-related risks to people and nature. We have a three-part strategy for reducing pesticide risks in our supply chains and track three reporting metrics for our priority ingredients. 1) Supplier standards: Through our Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code, we expect all ingredient suppliers to adopt advanced integrated pest management (IPM) practices. 2) Monitoring: Through our sustainable agriculture programs, we collect grower data on pesticide use and IPM adoption annually to monitor risks and identify opportunities. 3) Assessments and programs: For tomatoes, potatoes, and wheat, we conduct pesticide risk assessments, then work with growers to reduce major risks.*

### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- No, because our tier 1 suppliers are producers, and have no suppliers of commodities

## Climate change

### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Understanding supplier behavior

### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

- Other information collection activity, please specify :Collect data related to sustainable agriculture program annually

### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*We collect climate change and carbon data annually from suppliers of 96% of our tomato volume, 68% of our wheat volume, and 99% of our fresh potato volume through our sustainable agriculture programs. Tomato, potato, and wheat were selected for this deeper engagement based on their especially large volumes and partnership opportunities to drive improvement. In these programs, Campbell invests in partnerships (e.g., Potato Sustainability Alliance) to conduct annual data collection, the sharing of individual sustainability reports with growers for self-assessment and benchmarking, and connecting growers with funding for the adoption of advanced technology (e.g., drip irrigation) and stewardship practices (e.g., cover crops). For our deeper engagement in tomato, wheat, and potatoes, we track environmental outcomes and have observed growers' adoption of practices.*

### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- No, because our tier 1 suppliers are producers, and have no suppliers of commodities

## Climate change

### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Compliance & onboarding

### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

- Other innovation and collaboration activity, please specify :Included climate change in supplier selection and management mechanism

### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*We review and are driving compliance with our Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code for our priority raw material suppliers, which sets expectations for managing climate change and environmental risk. The primary focus of this engagement is our eight priority raw materials, which were selected for their materiality based on assessed environmental and social risks, volume, and the strategic roles they play for brands and for growth. At a high level, our measure of success for this engagement is the percentage of priority raw material volumes that are responsibly sourced. By weighted volumes in F24, 99% (of targeted 100%) of our priority raw materials were responsibly sourced.*

### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Sign and comply with the Responsible Sourcing Code of Conduct

### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- No, because our tier 1 suppliers are producers, and have no suppliers of commodities

## Forests

### (5.11.7.1) Commodity

Select from:

- Cocoa

### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- No deforestation and/or conversion of other natural ecosystems

### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

- Collect environmental risk and opportunity information at least annually from suppliers

### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*Addressing deforestation is part of our work to identify and achieve Scope 3 emission reductions. Campbell is engaging actively with suppliers on this topic and exploring opportunities that include supplier partnerships, product and service choices, verifications, and satellite monitoring.*

### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- No, because our tier 1 suppliers are producers, and have no suppliers of commodities

## Forests

### (5.11.7.1) Commodity

Select from:

- Cattle products

### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- No deforestation and/or conversion of other natural ecosystems

### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- Develop or distribute resources on how to map upstream value chain

Information collection

- Collect environmental risk and opportunity information at least annually from suppliers

### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*Addressing deforestation is part of our work to identify and achieve Scope 3 emission reductions. Campbell is engaging actively with suppliers on this topic and exploring opportunities that include supplier partnerships, product and service choices, verifications, and satellite monitoring.*

### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

No, because our tier 1 suppliers are producers, and have no suppliers of commodities

[\[Add row\]](#)

## C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>We report all environmental data under an operational control boundary.</i>
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>We report all environmental data under an operational control boundary.</i>
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>We report all environmental data under an operational control boundary.</i>
Plastics	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>We report all environmental data under an operational control boundary.</i>
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>We report all environmental data under an operational control boundary.</i>

[Fixed row]

## C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

### (7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

### (7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

#### (7.1.1.1) Has there been a structural change?

Select all that apply

Yes, an acquisition

Yes, a divestment

#### (7.1.1.2) Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with

*Acquired Sovos Brands, Inc. Divested Emerald Nuts, Pop Secret, and noosa*

#### (7.1.1.3) Details of structural change(s), including completion dates

*Emerald Nuts was divested May 30, 2023 Sovos Brands Inc. was acquired March 12, 2024. Pop Secret was divested August 26, 2024. noosa was divested November 12, 2024. As it relates to our fiscal 2024 environmental inventories, Sovos Brands (inclusive of noosa) and Emerald Nuts are not included. Pop Secret is included as it was divested after the fiscal year (Aug 2023-July 2024).*

*[Fixed row]*

### (7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

### (7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply

- Yes, a change in methodology
- Yes, a change in boundary

### (7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

*In accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard, fiscal 2020 Scope 3 emissions were restated for the following: • Category 1 - Purchased Goods and Services: recalculated to incorporate supplier data and reflect updated lifecycle emission factors used to calculate ingredient and packaging emissions, updates to packaging film volumes, and the disposal of the Emerald Nuts business in 2023 • Category 4 - Upstream Transportation and Distribution and Category 9 - Downstream Transportation and Distribution: recalculated to add additional legs of transportation not previously captured. Additionally, we updated our calculation methodologies for the following metrics on a prospective basis, beginning fiscal 2024: • For Scope 3 Category 4 - Upstream Transportation and Distribution, we included additional transportation data. • For fuel usage, we included additional sources, primarily mobile combustion, increasing total energy by 3%. • For fugitive emissions, we estimated refrigerants for all equipment using equipment type and capacity, increasing Scope 1 emissions by 1%. [Fixed row]*

### (7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

#### (7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

- Yes

#### (7.1.3.2) Scope(s) recalculated

Select all that apply

- Scope 3

#### (7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

In accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard, fiscal 2020 Scope 3 emissions were restated for the following: • Category 1 - Purchased Goods and Services: recalculated to incorporate supplier data and reflect updated lifecycle emission factors used to calculate ingredient and packaging emissions, updates to packaging film volumes, and the disposal of the Emerald Nuts business in 2023 • Category 4 - Upstream Transportation and Distribution and Category 9 - Downstream Transportation and Distribution: recalculated to add additional legs of transportation not previously captured. Fiscal 2023 Scope 1 emissions was restated to include additional natural gas usage for one site, resulting in a 2% increase.

**(7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation**

Select from:

Yes

[Fixed row]

**(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.**

Select all that apply

The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance

The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)

**(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.**

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	N/A

[Fixed row]

**(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.**

**Row 1**

#### **(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions**

*Campbell's reports Scope 1 & 2 emissions associated with the company's operations in the continental United States. Fewer than 0.5% of our locations are in other countries and are excluded from our Scope 2 emissions reporting.*

#### **(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)**

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

Scope 2 (market-based)

#### **(7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source**

Select from:

Emissions are not relevant

#### **(7.4.1.5) Relevance of market-based Scope 2 emissions from this source**

Select from:

Emissions are not relevant

#### **(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents**

**(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded**

*100% of our manufacturing facilities are included in the continental U.S. There are de minimis emissions from offices and warehouses located in other countries that are not contemplated for reporting purposes. These represent fewer than 0.5% of our total locations and are estimated to represent less than 0.5% of total Scope 2 emissions.*

**(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents**

*We estimated the % of total Scope 2 emissions using data from our U.S. locations in our Scope 2 inventory as well as building type/use.  
[Add row]*

**(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.****Scope 1****(7.5.1) Base year end**

*08/02/2020*

**(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

*471188.0*

**(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*calculated in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard*

**Scope 2 (location-based)****(7.5.1) Base year end**

*08/02/2020*

### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

222325.0

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*calculated in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard*

### **Scope 2 (market-based)**

### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

08/02/2020

### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

207251.0

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*calculated in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard*

### **Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services**

### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

08/02/2020

### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

4403812

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*calculated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*

## Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

### (7.5.1) Base year end

08/02/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13362

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*calculated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*

## Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

### (7.5.1) Base year end

08/02/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

102522

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*calculated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*

## Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

### (7.5.1) Base year end

08/02/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

665401

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*calculated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*

### Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

08/02/2020

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

144253

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*calculated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*

### Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

08/02/2020

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5986

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*calculated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*

### Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

### (7.5.1) Base year end

08/02/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

23395

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*calculated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*

## Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

### (7.5.1) Base year end

08/02/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Not calculated*

## Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

### (7.5.1) Base year end

08/02/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

435845

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*calculated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*

### **Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

*08/02/2020*

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

*0*

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Not calculated*

### **Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

*08/02/2020*

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

*0*

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Not calculated*

### **Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

08/02/2020

**(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

230598

**(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*calculated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*

**Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets**

**(7.5.1) Base year end**

08/02/2020

**(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

41222

**(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*calculated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*

**Scope 3 category 14: Franchises**

**(7.5.1) Base year end**

08/02/2020

**(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Not calculated*

### **Scope 3 category 15: Investments**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

*08/02/2020*

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

*0*

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Not calculated*

### **Scope 3: Other (upstream)**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

*08/02/2020*

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

*0*

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Not calculated*

### **Scope 3: Other (downstream)**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

*08/02/2020*

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Not calculated*  
*[Fixed row]*

### (7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	End date	Methodological details
Reporting year	465711	<i>Date input [must be between 11/19/2015 - 11/19/2024]</i>	<i>calculated in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard. Emissions have been externally verified by APEX Co.</i>
Past year 1	446423	07/30/2023	<i>calculated in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard.</i>
Past year 2	461968	07/31/2022	<i>calculated in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard.</i>

*[Fixed row]*

### (7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

**Reporting year**

#### (7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

205797

### **(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

64461

### **(7.7.4) Methodological details**

*calculated in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard. Emissions have been externally verified by APEX Co.*

### **Past year 1**

### **(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

209665

### **(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

195493

### **(7.7.3) End date**

07/30/2023

### **(7.7.4) Methodological details**

*calculated in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard.*

### **Past year 2**

### **(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

215014

### **(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

210093

### (7.7.3) End date

07/31/2022

### (7.7.4) Methodological details

*calculated in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard.*

*[Fixed row]*

## (7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

### Purchased goods and services

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

*Select from:*

Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

3428578

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

*Select all that apply*

Hybrid method

### Capital goods

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

*Select from:*

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

3454

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Spend-based method

### Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

100231

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Fuel-based method

### Upstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

577568

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Distance-based method

### Waste generated in operations

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

163331

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Waste-type-specific method

### Business travel

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

7583

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Hybrid method

## Employee commuting

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

23949

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Distance-based method

## Upstream leased assets

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Emissions associated with leased assets are included in Campbell's Scope 1 & 2 inventory and are considered under the company's operational control. Campbell's does not lease any assets that are not considered part of the operational control boundary.*

## Downstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

## (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

428137

## (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Distance-based method

## Processing of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Campbell's does not produce & sell intermediate products that require further processing.*

## Use of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Campbell's does not produce any products that contribute to direct use-phase emissions. Indirect use-phase emissions is considered optional within the Greenhouse Gas Protocol and is difficult to estimate. Therefore, Campbell's has chosen to exclude indirect use-phase emissions from the company's inventory.*

## End of life treatment of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

228045

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Waste-type-specific method

## Downstream leased assets

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

8537

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Utility data

## Franchises

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Campbell's does not use a franchise business model.*

### Investments

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Campbell's does not engage in investment activity.*

### Other (upstream)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Not applicable*

### Other (downstream)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable  
[Fixed row]

### (7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance

[Fixed row]

### (7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

#### Row 1

#### (7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

#### (7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

**(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance**

Select from:

Limited assurance

**(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement**

*The-Campbells-Company-FY2024-Environmental-Metric-Assurance-Statement.pdf*

**(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference**

4

**(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard**

Select from:

ISO14064-3

**(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)**

100

[Add row]

**(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.**

**Row 1**

**(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach**

Select from:

Scope 2 location-based

#### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

#### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

#### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

#### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*The-Campbells-Company-FY2024-Environmental-Metric-Assurance-Statement.pdf*

#### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

4

#### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISO14064-3

#### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

**(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?**

Select from:

Decreased

**(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.**

**Change in renewable energy consumption**

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

Decreased

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

17

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*The decrease in S1+S2 (market-based) emissions is primarily driven by an increase in renewable energy consumption through our VPPA, partially offset by improved refrigerant data and higher production volumes.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?**

Select from:

Market-based

**(7.13) Is biogenic carbon pertaining to your direct operations relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?**

Select from:

No

**(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?**

Select from:

No

**(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.**

	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
United States of America	465711	205797	64461

[Fixed row]

**(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.**

Select all that apply

By business division

**(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.**

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	Meals & Beverages	248417
Row 2	Snacks	210760

[Add row]

**(7.18) Do you include emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) in your direct operations as part of your global gross Scope 1 figure?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.18.2) Report the Scope 1 emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) and explain any exclusions. If applicable, disaggregate your agricultural/forestry by GHG emissions category.**

**Row 1**

**(7.18.2.1) Activity**

Select from:

Processing/Manufacturing

**(7.18.2.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

465711

**(7.18.2.4) Methodology**

Select all that apply

Region-specific emissions factors

**(7.18.2.5) Please explain**

*We use energy usage data to calculate greenhouse gas emissions in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard and we report this data on a monthly basis internally to key stakeholders across our supply chain organization. Less than 5% of our Scope 1 emissions are generated through mobile combustion, which may be used in part for distribution activities. The amount is de minimis for disclosure.*

[Add row]

**(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.**

Select all that apply

By business division

**(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.**

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Meals and Beverages</i>	92950	28593
Row 2	<i>Snacks</i>	107977	30474

[Add row]

**(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.**

**Consolidated accounting group**

**(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

465711

**(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

205797

**(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

64461

#### (7.22.4) Please explain

*We report Scope 1 and 2 emissions applying an operational control boundary  
[Fixed row]*

#### (7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

No

#### (7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

##### Row 1

#### (7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

#### (7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

*There are multiple challenges in allocating emissions to customers including diversity of product lines that are manufactured at multiple facilities and complex supply chains. In order to overcome these challenges, we would need to implement more rigorous data collection processes and technologies. It is also likely that significantly more financial and people resources would be necessary.*

*[Add row]*

#### (7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

#### (7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.28.2) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities

We are currently evaluating the quality of our data and data systems so that we can improve data tracking capabilities and system integration and connectivity.  
[Fixed row]

### (7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

### (7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.**

	Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh
Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy	0.00

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.**

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.**

### Row 1

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

United States of America

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

355875

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

US-REC

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

United States of America

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The Campbell's Company and Enel North America entered into a 12-year virtual renewable power purchase agreement.*  
[Add row]

**(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.**

**Row 1**

**(7.45.1) Intensity figure**

0.00005502

**(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)**

530172

**(7.45.3) Metric denominator**

Select from:

unit total revenue

**(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total**

9636000000

**(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used**

Select from:

Location-based

**(7.45.6) % change from previous year**

18.7

**(7.45.7) Direction of change**

Select from:

Decreased

### (7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

Change in renewable energy consumption

### (7.45.9) Please explain

We increased our renewable energy usage from 8% in FY23 to 62% in FY24, resulting in a decrease in intensity.

[Add row]

### (7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

#### Row 1

#### (7.52.1) Description

Select from:

Waste

#### (7.52.2) Metric value

31513

#### (7.52.3) Metric numerator

Waste to landfill (metric tons)

#### (7.52.5) % change from previous year

30.7

#### (7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

### (7.52.7) Please explain

*Beginning in FY2023, we achieved a decrease in waste to landfill as a result of new efforts at key locations and a partnership with a third-party waste-solutions provider. We have identified landfill alternatives and initiated new processes. This continued in FY2024.*

*[Add row]*

### (7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

*Select all that apply*

Absolute target

#### (7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

##### Row 1

#### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

*Select from:*

Abs 1

#### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

*Select from:*

Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

#### (7.53.1.4) Target ambition

*Select from:*

1.5°C aligned

#### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

03/24/2022

### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)
- Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>)
- Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)

### (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

### (7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- Market-based

### (7.53.1.11) End date of base year

08/02/2020

### (7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

471188

### (7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

207251

**(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0.000

**(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

678439.000

**(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1**

100

**(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2**

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

07/28/2030

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

42

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

393494.620

**(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

465711

**(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

64461

**(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

530172.000

**(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

**(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year**

52.03

**(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

*Campbell's Science Based Target covers 100% of scope 1 & scope 2 (market-based) emissions.*

**(7.53.1.83) Target objective**

*Climate change poses a risk to the operations and supply chains on which we rely to make our products and bring them to consumers. To create a more resilient business and mitigate our impact, we are taking a proactive approach to reducing our emissions. In 2022, we set Science-Based Targets (SBT) to reduce greenhouse gas emissions across Scopes 1, 2, and 3 and have been working to implement strategies and plans to achieve the goals.*

**(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach**

Select from:

No

**Row 2**

### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 2

### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

### (7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

Well-below 2°C aligned

### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

03/24/2022

### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)

Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>)

Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)

### (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

Scope 3

#### **(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories**

*Select all that apply*

Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services

Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution

#### **(7.53.1.11) End date of base year**

08/02/2020

#### **(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

4046465

#### **(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

665401

#### **(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

4711866.000

#### **(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

4711866.000

#### **(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)**

92.0

#### **(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)**

100.0

**(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)**

78

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

78

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

07/28/2030

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

25

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

3533899.500

**(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

3036675

**(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

577568

**(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

3614243.000

**(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

3614243.000

**(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

Yes, it covers land-related and non-land related emissions (e.g. SBT approved before the release of FLAG target-setting guidance)

**(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year**

93.18

**(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

*Campbell's scope 3 goal meets the percentage requirements of the Science Based Targets initiative. The target boundary includes ingredient and packaging purchases (category 1) and upstream transportation (category 4). Category 1 emissions associated with indirect purchases and manufacturing is currently excluded from the scope of this goal in an effort to focus mitigation activities on areas of greatest impact*

**(7.53.1.83) Target objective**

*Climate change poses a risk to the operations and supply chains on which we rely to make our products and bring them to consumers. To create a more resilient business and mitigate our impact, we are taking a proactive approach to reducing our emissions. In 2022, we set Science-Based Targets (SBT) to reduce greenhouse gas emissions across Scopes 1, 2, and 3 and have been working to implement strategies and plans to achieve the goals.*

**(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach**

Select from:

No

[Add row]

**(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?**

Select all that apply

Other climate-related targets

**(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.**

**Row 1**

**(7.54.2.1) Target reference number**

Select from:

Oth 1

**(7.54.2.2) Date target was set**

08/01/2016

**(7.54.2.3) Target coverage**

Select from:

Suppliers

**(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity**

Select from:

Absolute

**(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)**

Energy productivity

Other, energy productivity, please specify :% by volume of tomatoes engaged in a sustainable agriculture program

**(7.54.2.7) End date of base year**

07/30/2017

**(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year**

0

**(7.54.2.9) End date of target**

08/03/2025

**(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target**

50

**(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year**

96

**(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year**

192.0000000000

**(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

Achieved and maintained

**(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?**

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Campbell's Sustainable Agriculture program

**(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

Tomatoes purchased by Campbell's

**(7.54.2.19) Target objective**

*Working closely with growers is not new for us. Since our beginnings, we've helped to develop new tomato varieties, promote better agricultural practices, and serve as a long-term business partner to farmers. Our vision is to build on our long history and develop supply chains that are more resilient, employ climate-smart*

production practices, and intersect important trends among customers and consumers, who are increasingly aware of the benefits of regenerative agriculture. In fiscal 2024, we surpassed our goal of sourcing 50% of tomatoes, potatoes, and wheat ingredients from acres engaged in sustainable agriculture programs, and we launched new efforts to promote regenerative agriculture.

#### (7.54.2.21) List the actions which contributed most to achieving this target

We buy over 90% of our tomatoes directly from growers in California with whom we maintain close and lasting relationships. Over the past decade, we have supported growers to employ sustainable practices that have helped to reduce fertilizer, water use, and emissions. In fiscal 2023, we launched efforts to encourage the adoption of regenerative agriculture practices. Growers representing about a third of our tomato supply participated in the first year of the regenerative programs. We continued this work in fiscal 2024 by expanding participation, encouraging new practices, and enhancing data and insights.

#### Row 2

#### (7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 2

#### (7.54.2.2) Date target was set

08/01/2016

#### (7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Suppliers

#### (7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Absolute

#### (7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Energy productivity

Other, energy productivity, please specify :% by volume of potatoes engaged in a sustainable agriculture program

**(7.54.2.7) End date of base year**

07/30/2017

**(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year**

0

**(7.54.2.9) End date of target**

08/03/2025

**(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target**

50

**(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year**

99

**(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year**

198.0000000000

**(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

Achieved and maintained

**(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?**

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Campbell's Sustainable Agriculture program

### (7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*Fresh potatoes purchased by Campbell's*

### (7.54.2.19) Target objective

*Working closely with growers is not new for us. Since our beginnings, we've helped to develop new tomato varieties, promote better agricultural practices, and serve as a long-term business partner to farmers. Our vision is to build on our long history and develop supply chains that are more resilient, employ climate-smart production practices, and intersect important trends among customers and consumers, who are increasingly aware of the benefits of regenerative agriculture. In fiscal 2024, we surpassed our goal of sourcing 50% of tomatoes, potatoes, and wheat ingredients from acres engaged in sustainable agriculture programs, and we launched new efforts to promote regenerative agriculture.*

### (7.54.2.21) List the actions which contributed most to achieving this target

*Our potato growers are located in over a dozen states and actively use regenerative practices in their operations, including cover crops, organic amendments, and crop rotations. Through data collection and participation in the Potato Sustainability Alliance and other partnerships, we are enhancing insights into our supply chain while actively helping to measure and advance sustainability in the North American potato industry. Through a new project, Improving Soil Health in Potato Supply Chains, we have partnered with McCain Foods and the Soil Health Institute to help potato growers implement regenerative agriculture practices on more than 6,000 acres in Wisconsin and Maine. Funded through a 6.9 million USDA award, the project will scale up existing soil health technical assistance partnerships, supporting sustainable farming that prioritizes soil health through residue and tillage management, cover cropping, nutrient management, soil carbon amendments, and integrated pest management*

### Row 3

### (7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 3

### (7.54.2.2) Date target was set

08/01/2016

### (7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Suppliers

**(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity**

Select from:

Absolute

**(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)**

Energy productivity

Other, energy productivity, please specify :% by volume of wheat engaged in a sustainable agriculture program

**(7.54.2.7) End date of base year**

07/30/2017

**(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year**

0

**(7.54.2.9) End date of target**

08/03/2025

**(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target**

50

**(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year**

68

**(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year**

136.0000000000

### (7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Achieved

### (7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Campbell's Sustainable Agriculture program

### (7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*Wheat purchased for Campbell's either as wheat flour, or pasta, which are critical components in many of Campbell's products, in scope*

### (7.54.2.19) Target objective

*Working closely with growers is not new for us. Since our beginnings, we've helped to develop new tomato varieties, promote better agricultural practices, and serve as a long-term business partner to farmers. Our vision is to build on our long history and develop supply chains that are more resilient, employ climate-smart production practices, and intersect important trends among customers and consumers, who are increasingly aware of the benefits of regenerative agriculture. In fiscal 2024, we surpassed our goal of sourcing 50% of tomatoes, potatoes, and wheat ingredients from acres engaged in sustainable agriculture programs, and we launched new efforts to promote regenerative agriculture.*

### (7.54.2.21) List the actions which contributed most to achieving this target

*Our sustainable wheat program now reaches over 175,000 acres and is built on collaborations with flour suppliers, growers, and other partners. Working with partners since 2018 we have engaged nearly 100 growers across seven states to promote best practices and measure progress. In fiscal 2023 we launched our first regenerative wheat pilot with ADM. In fiscal 2024, we partnered with flour supplier Bartlett, a Savage Company, in our North Carolina supply shed. We have now surpassed our goal to source over 50% by volume of wheat engaged in a sustainable agriculture program.*

*[Add row]*

### (7.68) Do you encourage your suppliers to undertake any agricultural or forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits?

Select from:

Yes

**(7.68.1) Specify which agricultural or forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits you encourage your suppliers to undertake and describe your role in the implementation of each practice.**

**Row 1**

**(7.68.1.1) Management practice reference number**

Select from:

MP1

**(7.68.1.2) Management practice**

Select from:

Crop rotation

**(7.68.1.3) Description of management practice**

*We encourage growers of wheat, tomatoes and potatoes to utilize long and diverse crop rotations. Tomato, wheat, and potato have been the focus for promoting this practice because they are our priority ingredients that are grown in annual crop systems. We have chosen to promote this practice because of its positive role in building soil health and functioning, thus helping to increase fertilizer use efficiency and soil carbon sequestration which helps to lower net farm greenhouse gas emissions. The practice also helps to build resilience against pests, drought, and flooding, thus contributing to Campbell's business resilience.*

**(7.68.1.4) Your role in the implementation**

Select all that apply

Financial

Knowledge sharing

**(7.68.1.5) Explanation of how you encourage implementation**

*We encourage crop rotations, first by requesting 100% (target) of suppliers of tomato, wheat, and potato ingredients to sign an acknowledgment of Campbell's Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code, which encourages this practice. Second, from growers representing 96% (actual) of tomato supply, 68% (actual) of wheat supply, and 99% (actual) of fresh potato supply, annually we collect data on this practice. We then provide individual sustainability reports to growers allowing them to track progress and benchmark against peers. Additionally, we provide to wheat growers modelled impacts of crop rotations on environmental and financial outcomes as another means to encourage this practice. Campbell's financial and knowledge-sharing support of implementation includes partnership with a third-party data*

company to provide sustainability info to tomato growers as well as membership in the Potato Sustainability Alliance which shares sustainability information with potato growers. We have also established the Campbell's Sustainable Practices Fund. Grants have been awarded to tomato growers for projects focusing on the use of compost, manure, cover crops, biochar, microbial products, and other practices. In fiscal 2024, we expanded our potato work to include a new Campbell's Sustainable Practices Fund, which, similar to our tomato fund, will help offset growers' costs of testing and scaling regenerative agriculture practices.

#### (7.68.1.6) Climate change related benefit

Select all that apply

- Increasing resilience to climate change (adaptation)
- Increase carbon sink (mitigation)
- Reduced demand for fertilizers (adaptation)
- Reduced demand for pesticides (adaptation)

#### Row 2

#### (7.68.1.1) Management practice reference number

Select from:

- MP4

#### (7.68.1.2) Management practice

Select from:

- Permanent soil cover (including cover crops)

#### (7.68.1.3) Description of management practice

We encourage growers of wheat, tomatoes and potatoes to utilize cover cropping and soil cover to reduce erosion and build soil health. Tomato, wheat, and potato have been the focus for promoting this practice because they are our priority ingredients that are grown in annual crop systems. We have chosen to promote this practice because of its positive role in building soil health, thus helping to reduce net farm greenhouse gas emissions, and to build resilience to drought, flooding, and pests which strengthens Campbell business resilience.

#### (7.68.1.4) Your role in the implementation

Select all that apply

- Financial
- Knowledge sharing

### (7.68.1.5) Explanation of how you encourage implementation

*We encourage crop rotations, first by requesting 100% (target) of suppliers of tomato, wheat, and potato ingredients to sign an acknowledgment of Campbell's Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code, which encourages this practice. Second, from growers representing 96% (actual) of tomato supply, 68% (actual) of wheat supply, and 99% (actual) of fresh potato supply, annually we collect data on this practice. We then provide individual sustainability reports to growers allowing them to track progress and benchmark against peers. Additionally, we provide to wheat growers modelled impacts of crop rotations on environmental and financial outcomes as another means to encourage this practice. Campbell's financial and knowledge-sharing support of implementation includes partnership with a third-party data company to provide sustainability info to tomato growers as well as membership in the Potato Sustainability Alliance which shares sustainability information with potato growers. We have also established the Campbell's Sustainable Practices Fund. Grants have been awarded to tomato growers for projects focusing on the use of compost, manure, cover crops, biochar, microbial products, and other practices. In fiscal 2024, we expanded our potato work to include a new Campbell's Sustainable Practices Fund, which, similar to our tomato fund, will help offset growers' costs of testing and scaling regenerative agriculture practices.*

### (7.68.1.6) Climate change related benefit

*Select all that apply*

- Emissions reductions (mitigation)
- Reduced demand for fertilizers (adaptation)

## Row 3

### (7.68.1.1) Management practice reference number

*Select from:*

- MP2

### (7.68.1.2) Management practice

*Select from:*

- Fertilizer management

### (7.68.1.3) Description of management practice

*We encourage growers of wheat, tomatoes and potatoes to practice fertilizer management. For example, for years we have encouraged our tomato growers to adopt drip irrigation, which allows fertilizer to be applied more directly and efficiently to plant roots, compared to traditional irrigation methods. Tomato, wheat, and potato have been the focus for promoting this practice because they are our priority ingredients for which we have launched sustainable agriculture programs. We have chosen to promote this practice because of its positive role in managing farm yields and profits, minimizing nutrient runoff into watersheds, and reducing net farm greenhouse gas emissions.*

#### **(7.68.1.4) Your role in the implementation**

*Select all that apply*

- Financial
- Knowledge sharing

#### **(7.68.1.5) Explanation of how you encourage implementation**

*We encourage crop rotations, first by requesting 100% (target) of suppliers of tomato, wheat, and potato ingredients to sign an acknowledgment of Campbell's Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code, which encourages this practice. Second, from growers representing 96% (actual) of tomato supply, 68% (actual) of wheat supply, and 99% (actual) of fresh potato supply, annually we collect data on this practice. We then provide individual sustainability reports to growers allowing them to track progress and benchmark against peers. Additionally, we provide to wheat growers modelled impacts of crop rotations on environmental and financial outcomes as another means to encourage this practice. Campbell's financial and knowledge-sharing support of implementation includes partnership with a third-party data company to provide sustainability info to tomato growers as well as membership in the Potato Sustainability Alliance which shares sustainability information with potato growers. We have also established the Campbell's Sustainable Practices Fund. Grants have been awarded to tomato growers for projects focusing on the use of compost, manure, cover crops, biochar, microbial products, and other practices. In fiscal 2024, we expanded our potato work to include a new Campbell's Sustainable Practices Fund, which, similar to our tomato fund, will help offset growers' costs of testing and scaling regenerative agriculture practices.*

#### **(7.68.1.6) Climate change related benefit**

*Select all that apply*

- Emissions reductions (mitigation)
- Reduced demand for fertilizers (adaptation)

### **Row 4**

#### **(7.68.1.1) Management practice reference number**

*Select from:*

- MP3

### (7.68.1.2) Management practice

Select from:

- Low tillage and residue management

### (7.68.1.3) Description of management practice

*We encourage growers of wheat, tomatoes and potatoes to utilize reduced or no-tillage to build soil health. Tomato, wheat, and potato have been the focus for promoting this practice because they are our priority ingredients that are grown in annual crop systems. We have chosen to promote this practice because of its positive role in building soil health, thus helping to sequester and keep carbon in the soil which reduces net farm greenhouse gas emissions. It also helps to reduce soil erosion, and to build crop resilience against droughts and flooding, thus strengthening Campbell business resilience.*

### (7.68.1.4) Your role in the implementation

Select all that apply

- Financial
- Knowledge sharing

### (7.68.1.5) Explanation of how you encourage implementation

*We encourage crop rotations, first by requesting 100% (target) of suppliers of tomato, wheat, and potato ingredients to sign an acknowledgment of Campbell's Responsible Sourcing Supplier Code, which encourages this practice. Second, from growers representing 96% (actual) of tomato supply, 68% (actual) of wheat supply, and 99% (actual) of fresh potato supply, annually we collect data on this practice. We then provide individual sustainability reports to growers allowing them to track progress and benchmark against peers. Additionally, we provide to wheat growers modelled impacts of crop rotations on environmental and financial outcomes as another means to encourage this practice. Campbell's financial and knowledge-sharing support of implementation includes partnership with a third-party data company to provide sustainability info to tomato growers as well as membership in the Potato Sustainability Alliance which shares sustainability information with potato growers. We have also established the Campbell's Sustainable Practices Fund. Grants have been awarded to tomato growers for projects focusing on the use of compost, manure, cover crops, biochar, microbial products, and other practices. In fiscal 2024, we expanded our potato work to include a new Campbell's Sustainable Practices Fund, which, similar to our tomato fund, will help offset growers' costs of testing and scaling regenerative agriculture practices.*

### (7.68.1.6) Climate change related benefit

Select all that apply

- Emissions reductions (mitigation)
- Reduced demand for fertilizers (adaptation)

## Row 5

### (7.68.1.1) Management practice reference number

Select from:

MP6

### (7.68.1.2) Management practice

Select from:

Other, please specify :Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) certification

### (7.68.1.3) Description of management practice

*Adhering to RSPO's guidelines for proper forest management as it relates to palm oil production.*

### (7.68.1.4) Your role in the implementation

Select all that apply

Procurement

### (7.68.1.5) Explanation of how you encourage implementation

*We have transitioned all of the palm oil that we buy to RSPO certified palm oil.*

### (7.68.1.6) Climate change related benefit

Select all that apply

Emissions reductions (mitigation)

Increasing resilience to climate change (adaptation)

## Row 6

### (7.68.1.1) Management practice reference number

Select from:

MP5

### (7.68.1.2) Management practice

Select from:

Seed variety selection

### (7.68.1.3) Description of management practice

*We work with our tomato and potato growers to shape the development of varieties that are optimal for soil, climate, and pest management. Tomato and potato have been the focus for promoting this practice because they are priority ingredients for which we contract directly with growers, providing us with an operational platform to encourage this practice. We have chosen to promote this practice because of its critical role in improving the production and ingredient attributes of these ingredients, including enhancing consumer hedonics, agronomics, processing traits, storability, and disease and pest resistance. These enhancements play a role in both Climate Change mitigation (e.g., through better yields) and Campbell business resilience (e.g., through drought resistance).*

### (7.68.1.4) Your role in the implementation

Select all that apply

Operational

### (7.68.1.5) Explanation of how you encourage implementation

*We work with our tomato and potato growers to select the best seed varieties. For example, since the 2000s, we engage our growers in varietal testing through our membership in the Potatoes USA National Chip Program, resulting in the identification and early adoption of improved potato varieties that provide benefits including improved yields, climate resilience, and disease resistance.*

### (7.68.1.6) Climate change related benefit

Select all that apply

Emissions reductions (mitigation)

Reduced demand for pesticides (adaptation)

[Add row]

**(7.68.2) Do you collect information from your suppliers about the outcomes of any implemented agricultural/forest management practices you have encouraged?**

*Select from:*

Yes

**(7.70) Do you know if any of the management practices mentioned in 7.68.1 that were implemented by your suppliers have other impacts besides climate change mitigation/adaptation?**

*Select from:*

Yes

**(7.70.1) Provide details of those management practices implemented by your suppliers that have other impacts besides climate change mitigation/adaptation.**

**Row 1**

**(7.70.1.1) Management practice reference number**

*Select from:*

MP2

**(7.70.1.2) Overall effect**

*Select from:*

Positive

**(7.70.1.3) Which of the following has been impacted?**

*Select all that apply*

Biodiversity

Soil

Water

Yield

#### **(7.70.1.4) Description of impacts**

*Our sustainable agriculture programs encourage fertilizer management for three priority ingredients - tomatoes, wheat, and potatoes. Taking a holistic approach, our programs also encourage soil health practices (cover cropping, reduced tillage, diverse crop rotations, residue management), Integrated Pest Management, and advanced irrigation technologies. Our goal is to source at least 50% of volumes of these ingredients, by mass, from acres enrolled in a sustainable agriculture program by FY2025. We surpassed this in FY24 with acres representing 96% of tomato supply and 68% of wheat supply, and 99% of fresh potato supply enrolled. Fertilizer management, together with these soil health practices, improve soil health which, in turn, increases yield. Soil health practices also improve water infiltration and cycling. This, along with fertilizer management and advanced irrigation technologies, helps to conserve water quality and quantity. Soil health practices, along with responsible pest management, also contribute to biodiversity above and below the ground.*

#### **(7.70.1.5) Have any response to these impacts been implemented?**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(7.70.1.6) Description of the response(s)**

*Growers have responded well to our programs. In tomatoes and potatoes, about half of our growers have trialed a new practice with the support of a Campbell's grant since 2023. Practices range from cover cropping and organic amendments to nutrient and irrigation management. In wheat, our Scope 3 reduction pilots enrolled 4,000 acres in F24, and these are growing each year with a focus on the adoption of nutrient management practices.*

*[Add row]*

#### **(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?**

Select from:

No, I am not providing data

#### **(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?**

Select from:

No

#### **(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?**

Select from:

No

## C8. Environmental performance - Forests

### (8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?

	Exclusion from disclosure
Timber products	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Palm oil	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Cattle products	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Soy	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Cocoa	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

### (8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity.

	Volume type
Timber products	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sourced
Palm oil	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sourced
Cattle products	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sourced
Soy	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sourced
Cocoa	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sourced

[Fixed row]

**(8.2.1) Provide details on any soy embedded in animal products sourced by your organization.**

	Disclosure of embedded soy
Soy	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All of our embedded soy volume is excluded from our disclosure as reported in 8.1.1

[Fixed row]

## **(8.5) Provide details on the origins of your sourced volumes.**

### **Timber products**

#### **(8.5.1) Country/area of origin**

*Select from:*

- United States of America

#### **(8.5.2) First level administrative division**

*Select from:*

- Not disclosing

#### **(8.5.5) Source**

*Select all that apply*

- Contracted suppliers (processors)  
 Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

#### **(8.5.7) Please explain**

*Country of origin provided for all countries representing >5% of sourced volumes.*

### **Palm oil**

#### **(8.5.1) Country/area of origin**

*Select from:*

- Indonesia

#### **(8.5.2) First level administrative division**

*Select from:*

Not disclosing

### (8.5.5) Source

*Select all that apply*

Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Country of origin provided for all countries representing >5% of sourced volumes. 100% is RSPO certified.*

## Cattle products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

*Select from:*

Brazil

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

*Select from:*

Not disclosing

### (8.5.5) Source

*Select all that apply*

Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Country of origin provided for all countries representing >5% of sourced volumes.*

## Soy

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

United States of America

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

Not disclosing

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Country of origin provided for all countries representing >5% of sourced volumes.*

## Cocoa

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

Côte d'Ivoire

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

Not disclosing

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

Country of origin provided for all countries representing >5% of sourced volumes.

## Timber products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

Finland

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

Not disclosing

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

Contracted suppliers (processors)

Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

Country of origin provided for all countries representing >5% of sourced volumes.

## Palm oil

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

Malaysia

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

Not disclosing

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

- Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

Country of origin provided for all countries representing >5% of sourced volumes.

## Cattle products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

- United States of America

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

- Not disclosing

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

- Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

Country of origin provided for all countries representing >5% of sourced volumes.

## Cocoa

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

Ghana

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

Not disclosing

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

Country of origin provided for all countries representing >5% of sourced volumes.

[Add row]

### (8.6) Does your organization produce or source palm oil derived biofuel?

Select from:

No

### (8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?

#### Timber products

### (8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

No, but we plan to have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the next two years

### (8.7.3) Primary reason for not having an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year

Select from:

Other, please specify :See explanation

#### **(8.7.4) Explain why you did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year**

*Campbell's conducted materiality assessment and has begun engaging suppliers to identify solutions in preparation for SBTi FLAG requirements*

#### **(8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to have other targets related to this commodity in the next two years

#### **(8.7.6) Primary reason for not having other active targets in the reporting year**

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

#### **(8.7.7) Explain why you did not have other active targets in the reporting year**

*Our priority is setting a no-deforestation target.*

### **Palm oil**

#### **(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

No, but we plan to have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the next two years

#### **(8.7.3) Primary reason for not having an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year**

Select from:

Other, please specify :See explanation

#### **(8.7.4) Explain why you did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year**

*Campbell's conducted materiality assessment and has begun engaging suppliers to identify solutions in preparation for SBTi FLAG requirements*

#### **(8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

Yes, we have other targets related to this commodity

#### **Cattle products**

#### **(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

No, but we plan to have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the next two years

#### **(8.7.3) Primary reason for not having an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year**

Select from:

Other, please specify :See explanation

#### **(8.7.4) Explain why you did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year**

*Campbell's conducted materiality assessment and has begun engaging suppliers to identify solutions in preparation for SBTi FLAG requirements*

#### **(8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to have other targets related to this commodity in the next two years

#### **(8.7.6) Primary reason for not having other active targets in the reporting year**

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

### **(8.7.7) Explain why you did not have other active targets in the reporting year**

*Our priority is reducing deforestation.*

## **Soy**

### **(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the next two years

### **(8.7.3) Primary reason for not having an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year**

Select from:

- Other, please specify :See explanation

### **(8.7.4) Explain why you did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year**

*Campbell's conducted materiality assessment and has begun engaging suppliers to identify solutions in preparation for SBTi FLAG requirements*

### **(8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to have other targets related to this commodity in the next two years

### **(8.7.6) Primary reason for not having other active targets in the reporting year**

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

### (8.7.7) Explain why you did not have other active targets in the reporting year

*Our priority is setting a no-deforestation target.*

#### Cocoa

### (8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

No, but we plan to have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the next two years

### (8.7.3) Primary reason for not having an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year

Select from:

Other, please specify :See explanation

### (8.7.4) Explain why you did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year

*Campbell's conducted materiality assessment and has begun engaging suppliers to identify solutions in preparation for SBTi FLAG requirements*

### (8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to have other targets related to this commodity in the next two years

### (8.7.6) Primary reason for not having other active targets in the reporting year

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

### (8.7.7) Explain why you did not have other active targets in the reporting year

*Our priority is reducing deforestation.  
[Fixed row]*

**(8.7.2) Provide details of other targets related to your commodities, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target, and progress made against them.**

## **Palm oil**

### **(8.7.2.1) Target reference number**

*Select from:*

Target 1

### **(8.7.2.3) Target coverage**

*Select from:*

Suppliers

### **(8.7.2.4) Commodity volume covered by target (metric tons)**

*Select from:*

Total commodity volume associated with operations or locations covered by target

### **(8.7.2.5) Category of target & Quantitative metric**

Third-party certification

% of volume third-party certified

### **(8.7.2.7) Third-party certification scheme**

Chain-of-custody certification

RSPO supply chain certification - Mass Balance

**(8.7.2.8) Date target was set**

08/01/2011

**(8.7.2.9) End date of base year**

07/31/2011

**(8.7.2.10) Base year figure**

20

**(8.7.2.11) End date of target**

11/18/2020

**(8.7.2.12) Target year figure**

100

**(8.7.2.13) Reporting year figure**

100

**(8.7.2.14) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

Achieved and maintained

**(8.7.2.16) Global environmental treaties/ initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target**

Select all that apply

Sustainable Development Goals

**(8.7.2.17) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

*Our target is to source 100% RSPO-certified palm. Since 2021, we have sourced 100% RSPO-certified palm oil*

#### **(8.7.2.19) List the actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target**

*We have had a program dedicated to sourcing palm oil that is certified by the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO).*

#### **(8.7.2.20) Further details of target**

*Annually, we submit our Annual Communication on Progress (ACOP) to the RSPO.*

*[Add row]*

**(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used.**

### **Timber products**

#### **(8.8.1) Traceability system**

*Select from:*

Yes

#### **(8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system**

*Select all that apply*

Supplier engagement/communication

#### **(8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system**

*We require suppliers of all timber products to disclose country of origin, or more specific geographical disclosure, annually or more frequently. We obtain this through outreach directly to suppliers.*

### **Palm oil**

#### **(8.8.1) Traceability system**

Select from:

Yes

### (8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system

Select all that apply

Supplier engagement/communication

### (8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system

*We require suppliers of all palm products to disclose country of origin, or more specific geographical disclosure, annually or more frequently. We obtain this through outreach directly to suppliers.*

## Cattle products

### (8.8.1) Traceability system

Select from:

Yes

### (8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system

Select all that apply

Supplier engagement/communication

Internal traceability system

### (8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system

*We require suppliers of all beef products to disclose country of origin, or more specific geographical disclosure, annually or more frequently. We obtain this through outreach directly to suppliers or confirmation with our buyers.*

## Soy

### (8.8.1) Traceability system

Select from:

Yes

### (8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system

Select all that apply

Supplier engagement/communication

Internal traceability system

### (8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system

*Over 99% of soy originates in the U.S. We obtain this through outreach directly to suppliers or confirmation with our buyers.*

## Cocoa

### (8.8.1) Traceability system

Select from:

Yes

### (8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system

Select all that apply

Supplier engagement/communication

### (8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system

*We require suppliers of all cocoa products to disclose country of origin, or more specific geographical disclosure, annually or more frequently. We obtain this through outreach directly to suppliers.*

*[Fixed row]*

### (8.8.1) Provide details of the point to which your organization can trace its sourced volumes.

## Timber products

**(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit**

100

**(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin**

0

**(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin**

0

**(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported**

100.00

**Palm oil**

**(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit**

100

**(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin**

0

**(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin**

0

**(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported**

100.00

### **Cattle products**

**(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit**

100

**(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin**

0

**(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin**

0

**(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported**

100.00

**Soy**

**(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit**

100

**(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin**

0

**(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin**

0

**(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported**

100.00

**Cocoa**

**(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit**

100

**(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin**

0

**(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin**

0

**(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported**

100.00

[Fixed row]

**(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities.**

	DF/DCF status assessed for this commodity
Timber products	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, deforestation-free (DF) status assessed
Palm oil	Select from:

	DF/DCF status assessed for this commodity
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, deforestation-free (DF) status assessed
Cattle products	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, deforestation-free (DF) status assessed
Soy	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, deforestation-free (DF) status assessed
Cocoa	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, deforestation-free (DF) status assessed

[Fixed row]

**(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities.**

### Timber products

#### (8.10.1) Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint

*Select from:*

No, and we do not plan to monitor or estimate our deforestation and conversion footprint in the next two years

#### (8.10.2) Primary reason for not monitoring or estimating deforestation and conversion footprint

*Select from:*

Not an immediate strategic priority

#### (8.10.3) Explain why you do not monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint

*The majority of our timber is sourced from the U.S., which is considered low-risk and does not represent a material deforestation/conversion risk.*

## **Palm oil**

### **(8.10.1) Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint**

*Select from:*

No, and we do not plan to monitor or estimate our deforestation and conversion footprint in the next two years

### **(8.10.2) Primary reason for not monitoring or estimating deforestation and conversion footprint**

*Select from:*

Other, please specify :Monitoring through supplier engagement

### **(8.10.3) Explain why you do not monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint**

*Given the complexity of our supply chain, we focus on direct engagement with our suppliers. We are a member of North American Sustainable Palm Oil Network (NASPON).*

## **Cattle products**

### **(8.10.1) Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint**

*Select from:*

No, but we plan to monitor or estimate our deforestation and conversion footprint in the next two years

### **(8.10.2) Primary reason for not monitoring or estimating deforestation and conversion footprint**

*Select from:*

Other, please specify :Evaluating monitoring procedures

### **(8.10.3) Explain why you do not monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint**

*We work directly with our high-risk suppliers and have been evaluating monitoring solutions.*

## Soy

### (8.10.1) Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to monitor or estimate our deforestation and conversion footprint in the next two years

### (8.10.2) Primary reason for not monitoring or estimating deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

### (8.10.3) Explain why you do not monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint

*This is not a priority ingredient for Campbell. The majority of our soy is sourced from the U.S., which is considered low-risk and does not represent a material deforestation/conversion risk.*

## Cocoa

### (8.10.1) Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to monitor or estimate our deforestation and conversion footprint in the next two years

### (8.10.2) Primary reason for not monitoring or estimating deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Evaluating monitoring procedures

### (8.10.3) Explain why you do not monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint

*We work directly with our high-risk suppliers and have been evaluating monitoring solutions.*  
*[Fixed row]*

**(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members.**

## **Timber products**

### **(8.12.1) Third-party certification scheme adopted**

*Select from:*

No, and we do not plan to adopt third-party certification within the next two years

### **(8.12.5) Primary reason that third-party certification has not been adopted**

*Select from:*

Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

### **(8.12.6) Explain why third-party certification has not been adopted**

*The majority of our timber is sourced from the U.S., which is considered low-risk and does not represent a material deforestation/conversion risk. Given the complexity of our supply chain, we focus on direct engagement with our suppliers.*

## **Palm oil**

### **(8.12.1) Third-party certification scheme adopted**

*Select from:*

No, and we do not plan to adopt third-party certification within the next two years

### **(8.12.5) Primary reason that third-party certification has not been adopted**

*Select from:*

No standardized procedure

### **(8.12.6) Explain why third-party certification has not been adopted**

*We use RSPO mass balance.*

## Cattle products

### (8.12.1) Third-party certification scheme adopted

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to adopt third-party certification within the next two years

### (8.12.5) Primary reason that third-party certification has not been adopted

Select from:

No standardized procedure

### (8.12.6) Explain why third-party certification has not been adopted

*There is not available certified product from our sourcing regions.*

## Soy

### (8.12.1) Third-party certification scheme adopted

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to adopt third-party certification within the next two years

### (8.12.5) Primary reason that third-party certification has not been adopted

Select from:

Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

### (8.12.6) Explain why third-party certification has not been adopted

*This is not a priority ingredient for Campbell. The majority of our soy is sourced from the U.S., which is considered low-risk and does not represent a material deforestation/conversion risk.*

## Cocoa

### (8.12.1) Third-party certification scheme adopted

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to adopt third-party certification within the next two years

### (8.12.5) Primary reason that third-party certification has not been adopted

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Certifications currently being assessed, along with other solutions

### (8.12.6) Explain why third-party certification has not been adopted

*Segregated certified product is not widely available for ZDF cocoa. We are exploring other solutions, such as mass balance and monitoring supplier progress.  
[Fixed row]*

**(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?**

#### Timber products

### (8.13.1) GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated

Select from:

- Yes, but not willing to share details with requesting CDP Supply Chain members

#### Palm oil

### (8.13.1) GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated

Select from:

- Yes, but not willing to share details with requesting CDP Supply Chain members

#### Cattle products

### (8.13.1) GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated

Select from:

- Yes, but not willing to share details with requesting CDP Supply Chain members

## Soy

### (8.13.1) GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated

Select from:

- No, and do not plan to do so in the next two years

### (8.13.2) Primary reason your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change

Select from:

- Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

### (8.13.3) Explain why your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change

*Given that the soy products we use are low-risk for deforestation because of the countries we source from (United States and Canada), we don't anticipate material GHG emissions reductions related to decrease in land use change.*

## Cocoa

### (8.13.1) GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated

Select from:

- Yes, but not willing to share details with requesting CDP Supply Chain members

[Fixed row]

**(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details.**

#### **(8.14.1) Assess legal compliance with forest regulations**

*Select from:*

- Yes, from suppliers

#### **(8.14.2) Aspects of legislation considered**

*Select all that apply*

- Land use rights
- Environmental protection
- Forest-related rules, including forest management and biodiversity conservation, where directly related to wood harvesting
- Labor rights
- Human rights protected under international law

#### **(8.14.3) Procedure to ensure legal compliance**

*Select all that apply*

- Certification
- First party audits

#### **(8.14.4) Indicate if you collect data regarding compliance with the Brazilian Forest Code**

*Select from:*

- No, and we do not plan to collect data on this indicator within the next two years

#### **(8.14.5) Please explain**

*To ensure the credibility of palm oil sustainability claims, all RSPO members that take legal ownership and produce, or handle RSPO-certified sustainable oil palm products need to be RSPO certified. At the heart of this process are the RSPO Principles & Criteria. Campbell is a RSPO member and purchases RSPO certified palm from RSPO certified producers. For materials that come from high-risk countries as determined by the World Bank, we request suppliers to undergo a social and environmental compliance audit consistent with SMETA 4-pillar framework.*

[Fixed row]

**(8.16) Do you participate in any other external activities to support the implementation of policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains?**

Select from:

Yes

**(8.16.1) Provide details of the external activities to support the implementation of your policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains**

**Row 1**

**(8.16.1.1) Commodity**

Select all that apply

Timber products

**(8.16.1.2) Activities**

Select all that apply

Involved in industry platforms

**(8.16.1.3) Country/area**

Select from:

United States of America

**(8.16.1.4) Subnational area**

Select from:

Not applicable

### (8.16.1.5) Provide further details of the activity

*We are members of the Sustainable Packaging Coalition*

#### Row 2

### (8.16.1.1) Commodity

*Select all that apply*

Palm oil

### (8.16.1.2) Activities

*Select all that apply*

Engaging with non-governmental organizations

### (8.16.1.3) Country/area

*Select from:*

Worldwide

### (8.16.1.4) Subnational area

*Select from:*

Not applicable

### (8.16.1.5) Provide further details of the activity

*Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO). We are also a founding member of North America Sustainable Palm Oil Network (NASPON).  
[Add row]*

### (8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection?

*Select from:*

No, and we do not plan to implement project(s) within the next two years

## C9. Environmental performance - Water security

### (9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

Yes

#### (9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

##### Row 1

#### (9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Country/geographical area

#### (9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*We report water metrics for the continental U.S. Less than 0.5% of our office/warehouse locations in Canada and Latin America are excluded.*

#### (9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

Small volume [rainwater]

#### (9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

Less than 1%

#### (9.1.1.8) Please explain

99% of our water usage relates to our manufacturing sites. All remaining sites (offices, depots, warehouses, mixing centers, hubs, etc.) make up 1% of total water usage. Less than 0.5% of our office locations and warehouses are not located in the continental U.S. and are not included in our reporting as they are de minimis. [Add row]

## **(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?**

### **Water withdrawals – total volumes**

#### **(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations**

Select from:

100%

#### **(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement**

Select from:

Monthly

#### **(9.2.3) Method of measurement**

*We track water withdrawals by source on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from water invoices as well as from sites that track incoming surface and ground water.*

#### **(9.2.4) Please explain**

*No material facilities are excluded from this monitoring. We include our owned and leased manufacturing operations, as well as large offices in our measuring and monitoring. We also measure and monitor some of our owned and leased warehouses where we manage the water and sewer accounts. Each of our manufacturing facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025.*

### **Water withdrawals – volumes by source**

#### **(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations**

Select from:

100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*We track water withdrawals by source on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from water invoices as well as from sites that track incoming surface and ground water.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*No material facilities are excluded from this monitoring. We include our owned and leased manufacturing operations, as well as large offices in our measuring and monitoring. We also measure and monitor some of our owned and leased warehouses where we manage the water and sewer accounts. Each of our manufacturing facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025.*

## Water withdrawals quality

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

See 'please explain'

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Each facility uses potable water, as defined by the U.S. EPA, for the manufacturing of product and use by personnel. Nearly all facilities receive water from local municipalities. Certain plants have onsite water treatment or distribution systems. Some facilities use additional filtration systems to further condition their incoming water for production purposes. We monitor and measure water quality at the site level and also track water quality related to water permits at the corporate level. We monitor municipal reporting and track the quality of water withdrawals at our manufacturing locations on a daily, weekly or monthly basis depending on the type of process, location of the site and our permitting and processing requirements.*

## **Water discharges – total volumes**

### **(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations**

*Select from:*

100%

### **(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement**

*Select from:*

Monthly

### **(9.2.3) Method of measurement**

*We track water discharges on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from sewer invoices as well as from sites that track outgoing surface and ground water*

### **(9.2.4) Please explain**

*No material facilities are excluded from this monitoring. We include our owned and leased manufacturing operations, as well as large offices in our measuring and monitoring. We also measure and monitor some of our owned and leased warehouses where we manage the water and sewer accounts.*

## **Water discharges – volumes by destination**

### **(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations**

*Select from:*

100%

### **(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement**

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*We track water discharges by source on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from sewer invoices as well as from sites that track outgoing surface and ground water.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*No material facilities are excluded from this monitoring. We include our owned and leased manufacturing operations, as well as large offices in our measuring and monitoring. We also measure and monitor some of our owned and leased warehouses where we manage the water and sewer accounts.*

## Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*We track water discharges by treatment method on a daily, weekly and monthly basis depending on the type of process, location of the site and our permitting and processing requirements. Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.*

## Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*We track water discharges by standard effluent parameters on a daily, weekly and monthly basis depending on the type of process, location of the site and our permitting and processing requirements. Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.*

## **Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)**

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not relevant

### (9.2.4) Please explain

N/A

## **Water discharge quality – temperature**

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*We track water discharge temperatures on a daily, weekly and monthly basis depending on the type of process, location of the site and our permitting and processing requirements. Our method for measurement is managed at the site level and uses testing equipment along with tracking documentation based on local requirements.*

## Water consumption – total volume

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*We track water consumption on a monthly basis through an automated database that tracks data from water and sewer invoices as well as from sites that track incoming and outgoing surface and ground water.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

No material facilities are excluded from this monitoring. We include our owned and leased manufacturing operations, as well as large offices in our measuring and monitoring. We also measure and monitor some of our owned and leased warehouses where we manage the water and sewer accounts. Each of our facilities has an annual reduction goal that contributes to our corporate commitment to reduce water withdrawals by 20% by 2025.

## Water recycled/reused

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*We do not currently measure and monitor recycled water in our operations*

## The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Continuously

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*100% of US-based sites.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*All of our sites (US based) provide drinking water that meets US EPA quality standards at work to our employees. We have requirements for all individuals entering our production facilities to wash hands properly and we provide fully functioning WASH services for employees onsite.*

[Fixed row]

**(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?**

**Total withdrawals**

**(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)**

22457

**(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

Lower

**Total discharges**

**(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)**

18669

**(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

Lower

**Total consumption**

**(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)**

3788

**(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

Higher

[Fixed row]

**(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.**

#### **(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

About the same

#### **(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

Other, please specify :no material change

#### **(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast**

Select from:

Lower

#### **(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast**

Select from:

Increase/decrease in efficiency

#### (9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

- WRI Aqueduct
- WWF Water Risk Filter

#### (9.2.4.9) Please explain

*For FY2022, Campbell completed a water inventory and risk assessment of its direct operations and supply chain using the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wildlife Fund's Water Risk Filter (WRF). Based on Aqueduct Baseline water stress criteria, it was found that in our Direct Operations, only 1% of Campbell water withdrawals are from areas of high or extremely high water stress. We are in the process of performing a climate risk assessment using F24 data which will include water stress and plan to publish summary results on our website.*

*[Fixed row]*

#### (9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

**Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes**

##### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Relevant

##### (9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

8575

##### (9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Lower

**Brackish surface water/Seawater**

### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

### Groundwater – renewable

### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

### (9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

1483

### (9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

### Groundwater – non-renewable

### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

### Produced/Entrained water

### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

## Third party sources

### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

### (9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

12400

### (9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

[Fixed row]

## (9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

	Relevance	Please explain
Fresh surface water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant but volume unknown	<i>Too many assumptions to guess destination - not disclosed</i>
Brackish surface water/seawater	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant	<i>Too many assumptions to guess destination - not disclosed</i>
Groundwater	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant but volume unknown	<i>Too many assumptions to guess destination - not disclosed</i>

	Relevance	Please explain
Third-party destinations	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant but volume unknown	<i>Too many assumptions to guess destination - not disclosed</i>

[Fixed row]

**(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.**

**Tertiary treatment**

**(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge**

*Select from:*

Relevant but volume unknown

**(9.2.9.6) Please explain**

*In some cases, this type of treatment is relevant to our manufacturing facilities. We have data by discharge treatment type at the site level, but we are not prepared to report on it.*

**Secondary treatment**

**(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge**

*Select from:*

Relevant but volume unknown

**(9.2.9.6) Please explain**

*In some cases, this type of treatment is relevant to our manufacturing facilities. We have data by discharge treatment type at the site level, but we are not prepared to report on it*

## **Primary treatment only**

### **(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge**

*Select from:*

Relevant but volume unknown

### **(9.2.9.6) Please explain**

*This type of treatment is relevant to our manufacturing facilities. We have data by discharge treatment type at the site level, but we are not prepared to report on it*

## **Discharge to the natural environment without treatment**

### **(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge**

*Select from:*

Not relevant

### **(9.2.9.6) Please explain**

*We do not discharge to the natural environment without treatment.*

## **Discharge to a third party without treatment**

### **(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge**

*Select from:*

Relevant but volume unknown

### **(9.2.9.6) Please explain**

*We discharge to the municipality at our offices and warehouses.*

## Other

### (9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

- Relevant but volume unknown

### (9.2.9.6) Please explain

*We have sites using a pretreatment system but then send the effluent to a municipality for final treatment and discharge. We have data by discharge treatment type at the site level but are not prepared to report on it.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?**

#### Direct operations

### (9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

- Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

### (9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

21

### (9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

- 1-25

### (9.3.4) Please explain

*In fiscal 2023, we updated our water inventory and risk assessments of our direct operations and supply chain using the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wildlife Fund's Water Risk Filter. The risk assessment included 100% of our direct operations. Based on the analysis, it was found that twenty one Campbell facilities, representing over 90% of water consumed by our direct operations, were identified as having basin-level water risk. According to the risk modelling tools used in our analysis, these direct operations were identified as experiencing extremely high or high current basin water risk; current or future water stress levels; interannual variability, seasonal variability, drought, or flood risk; and/or water quality risk. Currently, we believe our efforts to manage resource use in our facilities, engage with growers on sustainable agriculture practices, implement water reduction projects, and develop contingency and risk management plans address these risks. We are in the process of performing a climate risk assessment, including water stress, and plan to publish summary results on our website.*

## Upstream value chain

### (9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

### (9.3.4) Please explain

*In fiscal 2023, we updated our water inventory and risk assessments of our direct operations and supply chain using the World Resources Institute's Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas (Aqueduct) and the World Wildlife Fund's Water Risk Filter. Based on the assessment, approximately 50% of Campbell's priority raw materials are sourced from eight basins that are at risk of current or future water stress. Currently, we believe our efforts to manage resource use in our facilities, engage with growers on sustainable agriculture practices, maintain a diversified supply chain, and develop contingency and risk management plans address these risks.*  
[Fixed row]

**(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?**

## Water withdrawals – total volumes

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

76-100

### (9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

## Water withdrawals – volume by source

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

## Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

## Water discharges – total volumes

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

76-100

### (9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISAE 3000

## Water discharges – volume by destination

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

## Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

## Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

## Water consumption – total volume

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

[Fixed row]

## (9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

We do not have this data and have no intentions to collect it

## (9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

	Products and/or services classified as low water impact	Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact	Please explain
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Judged to be unimportant, explanation provided	<i>We focus efforts on our upstream supply chain impacts.</i>

[Fixed row]

### (9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

*Select from:*

Yes

#### (9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

##### Water pollution

###### (9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

*Select from:*

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

###### (9.15.1.2) Please explain

*Water is a critical input into the production processes at our manufacturing sites and for our agricultural ingredients. Our priority is to make efforts to reduce the water used under our direct control and work with growers to promote better irrigation practices.*

##### Water withdrawals

###### (9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

Yes

## Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services

### (9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

### (9.15.1.2) Please explain

*All of our sites (US based) provide drinking water that meets US EPA quality standards at work to our employees. We have requirements for all individuals entering our production facilities to wash hands properly and we provide fully functioning WASH services for employees onsite.*

## Other

### (9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

### (9.15.1.2) Please explain

N/A

[Fixed row]

## (9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

### Row 1

#### (9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Target 1

#### (9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide (direct operations only)

#### (9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals

Reduction in total water withdrawals

#### (9.15.2.4) Date target was set

07/31/2016

#### (9.15.2.5) End date of base year

07/30/2017

#### (9.15.2.6) Base year figure

22801

#### (9.15.2.7) End date of target year

08/03/2025

#### (9.15.2.8) Target year figure

18240

#### (9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

22458

**(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

Underway

**(9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year**

8

**(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target**

Select all that apply

None, alignment not assessed

**(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

*For water use management and reporting, we report water use in the United States. Canada and LATAM are excluded from our reporting and our goal progress as they have a de minimis impact.*

**(9.15.2.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year**

*We continue to trail our water and landfill goals. We are assessing the next generation of goals for these categories and will provide updates in future reporting.  
[Add row]*

## C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

### (10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

#### (10.1.1) Targets in place

Select from:

Yes

#### (10.1.2) Target type and metric

Plastic packaging

- Increase the proportion of post-consumer recycled content in plastic packaging
- Increase the proportion of plastic packaging that is recyclable in practice and at scale

End-of-life management

- Increase the proportion of recyclable plastic waste that is collected, sorted, and recycled

#### (10.1.3) Please explain

*We set four packaging goals, which were designed to address all phases of the packaging lifecycle, from sourcing to end-of-life, including recycling or composting. 1. In our goal to increase the use of post-consumer recycled (PCR) content and incorporate 25% of PCR content into polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles by CY2030, we achieved 12.4% of PCR content in our PET bottles in FY24. 2. In our goal to transition 100% of packaging to recyclable or industrially compostable designs and materials by CY2030, we have reached 92% in FY24. 3. In our goal to drive increases in recycling rates through standardized on-pack labeling by including the How2Recycle label on 100% of packaging by CY2022, we reached 100% in FY24. We also have a goal to expand access to recycling and advance the development of collection and recycling infrastructure by building and investing in partnerships with peers and industry groups. We have several strategic memberships and affiliations, including the Association of Plastic Recyclers, How2Recycle, PolyCoated Paper Alliance, the Sustainable Packaging Coalition, and The Recycling Partnership.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.**

**Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)**

**(10.2.1) Activity applies**

*Select from:*

No

**(10.2.2) Comment**

N/A

**Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)**

**(10.2.1) Activity applies**

*Select from:*

No

**(10.2.2) Comment**

N/A

**Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)**

**(10.2.1) Activity applies**

*Select from:*

No

**(10.2.2) Comment**

N/A

## Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

## Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

Yes

### (10.2.2) Comment

*We are a manufacturer and marketer of high-quality, branded food and beverage products. By weight, the vast majority of our packaging, like soup cans and cardboard containers, is already recyclable through standard curbside and industrial recycling. We have set several goals to drive additional progress on packaging recyclability, prioritizing new formats, increasing recycled content, and advancing recycling infrastructure more broadly.*

## Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

## Provision of waste management and/or water management services

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

## Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

## Other activities not specified

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

[Fixed row]

**(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content.**

**Plastic packaging used**

**(10.5.2) Raw material content percentages available to report**

*Select all that apply*

% virgin fossil-based content

% post-consumer recycled content

**(10.5.3) % virgin fossil-based content**

95

**(10.5.6) % post-consumer recycled content**

5

**(10.5.7) Please explain**

*% of PCR content in plastic is calculated using a weighted average of PCR content in all plastic packaging. Many of our packaging formats contain pre-consumer-post-industrial recycled content. We do not currently collect this data at an enterprise level*

*[Fixed row]*

### C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

#### Row 1

##### (13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

Climate change

##### (13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

Waste data

##### (13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

ISAE 3000

#### (13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

*APEX Companies LLC*

#### (13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

*The-Campbells-Company-FY2024-Environmental-Metric-Assurance-Statement.pdf*

*[Add row]*

**(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.**

#### (13.3.1) Job title

*Senior Vice President, Chief Sustainability Officer*

#### (13.3.2) Corresponding job category

*Select from:*

Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

*[Fixed row]*

**(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.**

*Select from:*

No

